Click here for DISCLAIMER

Document starts on next page

Part II - OFF-HIGHWAY MOBILE SOURCES

INTRODUCTION

This section contains emission rates for eight types of off-highway mobile sources. The emissions of six of these types of sources are unchanged from the previous edition and supplements. Changes have been made inboard powered vessels and diesel powered heavy-duty construction equipment. The changes for these two sources are summarized below.

<u>Inboard Powered Vessels</u> - Only one item has been changed since the previous edition. This change was the deletion of the 1550 horsepower diesel emission factors from Table II-3.3 because they were for a 1550 horsepower steam engine and not a diesel engine.

<u>Construction Equipment</u> - The emission factors for heavy-duty diesel construction equipment are based on a recent study by Environmental Research and Technology, Inc. Some of the categories of construction equipment have changed. The emission factors for heavy-duty gas powered construction equipment are the same as in the previous edition.

Comments on Other Studies - Recently there have been two studies undertaken for off-highway mobile sources. The first one deals strictly with inboard powered vessels, and is entitled "Emission Factor Documentation for AP-42: Section 3.2.3 Inboard Powered Vessels" (EPA 450/4-84-001). The second report discusses locomotives, construction equipment and inboard powered vessels, and is entitled "Recommended Revisions to Gaseous Emission Factors for Several Classes of Off-Highway Vehicles - Final Report" (EPA 460/3-85-004, March 1985). The following are EPA's comments on material presented in these reports relative to AP-42.

Locomotives - The current emission factors for locomotives are based on tests of three in-use locomotives. The second report located data on at least fifteen new locomotives, and recommended updating the emissions to this new data set. The report also suggested that the duty cycle for locomotives include some engine shut-down in place of some engine idle, mostly based on the fact that fuel costs are higher and companies would encourage engine shut-down as a cost saving measure. The previous emission factors do not assume any engine shut-down during the duty cycle. EPA has not adopted the new emission factors, and instead has retained the previous emission factors for two reasons. First, there does not appear to be any verifiable basis for picking the percent of engine shut-down time during the duty cycle. Second, EPA has become aware of a larger data set of in-use locomotives with emission data. EPA intends to analyze these data in the near future, and feels it would be inappropriate to update the locomotive emission factors with the fifteen locomotives on an interim basis, only to change them at a later date.

<u>Inboard Powered Vessels</u> - The first report compiled available data on inboard powered vessels and attempted to estimate the emission factors.

The second report critiqued the first report, and found some inconsistencies in the manner in which the emission factors were estimated. The second report recommended only two changes to the existing emission factors — one was the removal of the 1550 horsepower emission rates from Table II-3.3. (This engine was a steam boiler, and not diesel powered as presented.) This we have done. The second was the addition of some new emission rates for diesel engines above 3000 horsepower, but at only one load setting and in units which were inconsistent with those in Table II-3.2. EPA investigated the possibility of converting the new data into the old units but had no basis for estimating the appropriate conversion factor. Therefore, the previous emission factors (at 3600 horsepower) are retained.

<u>Future Work</u> - Beside locomotives, EPA may also soon undertake a study of emissions from new aircraft. Emission standards for new aircraft took effect in 1984; therefore, all 1984 and newer aircraft should have lower emissions than the rates presented herein. However, the present emission rates for aircraft are sufficient for now, since the majority of aircraft in use are pre-1984 uncontrolled technology.

II-1 AIRCRAFT

II-1.1 General

Aircraft engines are of two major categories, reciprocating piston and gas turbine.

In the piston engine, the basic element is the combustion chamber, or cylinder, in which mixtures of fuel and air are burned and from which energy is extracted by a piston and crank mechanism driving a propeller. The majority of aircraft piston engines have two or more cylinders and are generally classified according to their cylinder arrangement — either "opposed" or "radial". Opposed engines are installed in most light or utility aircraft, and radial engines are used mainly in large transport aircraft. Almost no singlerow inline or V-engines are used in current aircraft.

The gas turbine engine usually consists of a compressor, a combustion chamber and a turbine. Air entering the forward end of the engine is compressed and then heated by burning fuel in the combustion chamber. The major portion of the energy in the heated air stream is used for aircraft propulsion. Part of the energy is expended in driving the turbine, which in turn drives the compressor. Turbofan and turboprop (or turboshaft) engines use energy from the turbine for propulsion, and turbojet engines use only the expanding exhaust stream for propulsion. The terms "propjet" and "fanjet" are sometimes used for turboprop and turbofan, respectively.

The aircraft in the following tables include only those believed to be significant at present or over the next few years.

Few piston engine aircraft data appear here. Military fixed wing piston aircraft, even trainers, are being phased out. One piston engine helicopter, the TH-55A "Osage", sees extensive use at one training base at Ft. Rucker, AL (EPA Region IV), but engine emissions data are not available. Most civil piston engine aircraft are in general aviation service.

The fact that a particular aircraft brand is not listed in the following tables does not mean the emission factors cannot be calculated. It is the engine emissions and the time-in-mode (TIM) category which

determine emissions. If these are known, emission factors can be calculated in the same way that the following tables are developed.

The civil and military aircraft classification system used is shown in Tables II-1-1 and II-1-2. Aircraft have been classified by kind of aircraft and the most commonly used engine for that kind. Jumbo jets normally have a miximum of about 40,000 pounds thrust per engine, and medium range jets about 14,000 pounds thrust per engine. Small piston engines develop less than 500 horsepower.

II- 1.2 The Landing/Takeoff Cycle and Times-in-Mode

A landing/takeoff (LTO) cycle incorporates all of the normal flight and ground operation modes (at their respective times-in-mode), including: descent/approach from approximately 3000 feet (915 m) above ground level (AGL), touchdown, landing run, taxi in, idle and shutdown, startup and idle, checkout, taxi out, takeoff, and climbout to 3000 feet (915m) AGL.

In order to make the available data manageable, and to facilitate comparisons, all of these operations are conventionally grouped into five standard modes: approach, taxi/idle in, taxi/idle out, takeoff and climbout. There are exceptions. The supersonic transport (SST) has a descent mode preceding approach. Helicopters omit the takeoff mode. Training exercises involve "touch and go" practice. These omit the taxi/idle modes, and the maximum altitude reached is much lower. Hence, the duration (TIM) of the approach and climbout modes will be shorter.

Each class of aircraft has its own typical LTO cycle (set of TIMs). For major classes of aircraft, these are shown in Tables II-1-3 and II-1-4. The TIM data appearing in these tables should be used for guidance only and in the absence of specific observations. The military data are inappropriate to primary training. The civil data apply to large, congested fields at times of heavy activity.

All of the data assume a 3000 foot AGL inversion height and an average U.S. mixing depth. This may be inappropriate at specific localities and times, for which specific site and time inversion height data should be sought. Aircraft emissions of concern here are those released to the atmosphere below the inversion. If local conditions suggest higher or lower inversions, the duration (TIM) of the approach and climbout modes must be adjusted correspondingly.

A more detailed discussion of the assumptions and limitations implicit in these data appears in Reference 1.

Emission factors in Tables II-1-9 and II-1-10 were determined using the times-in-mode presented in Tables II-1-3 and II-1-4, and generally for the engine power settings given in Tables II-1-5 and II-1-6.

Table II-1-1. CIVIL AIRCRAFT CLASSIFICATION³

<u>Aircraft</u>			Engine	·
	No.	Mfg.	Type	Model/Series
Supersonic transport				
BAC/Aerospatiale Concorde	4	RR	TF	Olymp. 593-6
Short, medium, long range and jumbo jets				
B. G. 111 / 00	•			
BAC 111-400	2	RR	TF	Spey 511
Boeing 707-320B	4	P&W	TF	JT3D-7
Boeing 727-200	3	P&W	TF	JT8D-17
Boeing 737-200	2	P & W	TF	JT8D-17
Boeing 747-200B	4	P&W	TF	JT9D-7
Boeing 747-200B	4	P&W	TF	JT9D-70
Boeing 747-200B	4	RR	TF	RB211-524
Lockheed L1011-200	3	RR	TF	RB211-524
Lockheed L1011-100	3	RR	TF	RB211-22B
McDonnell-Douglas DC8-63	4	P&W	TF	JT3D-7
McDonnell-Douglas DC9-50	2	P&W	TF	JT8D-17
McDonnell-Douglas DC10-30	3	GE	TF	CF6-50C
Air carrier turboprops - commuter, feeder line and freighters				
Beech 99	2	PWC	TP	PT6A-28
GD/Convair 580	2	A11	TP	501
DeHavilland Twin Otter	2	PWC	TP	PT6A-27
Fairchild F27 and FH227	2		-	
	2	RR	TP	R. Da. 7
Grumman Goose	_	PWC	TP	PT6A-27
Lockheed L188 Electra	4	A11	TP	501
Lockhead L100 Hercules	4	A11	TP	501
Swearingen Metro+2	2	GA	TP	TPE 331-3
Business jets				
Cessna Citation	2	P&W	TF	JT15D-1
Dassault Falcon 20	2	GE	TF	CF700-2D
Gates Learjet 24D	2	GE	TJ	CJ610-6
Gates Learjet 35, 36	2	GE	ΤΈ	TPE 731-2
Rockwell International	_	G.L	**	116 /31-2
Shoreliner 75A	2	GE	TF	CF 700
Business turboprops (EPA Class P2)				
Beech B99 Airliner	2	PWC	TP	PT6A-27
DeHavilland Twin Otter	2	PWC	TP	PT6A-27
Shorts Skyvan-3	2	GA	TP	TPE-331-2
Swearingen Merlin IIIA	2	GA	TP	TPE-331-3
General aviation piston (EPA Class P1)				
Cessna 150	1	Con	0	0-200
Piper Warrior	1	Lyc	0	0-320
Cessna Pressurized		•		
Skymaster	2	Con	0	TS10-360C
Piper Navajo Chieftain	2	Lyn	Ō	T10-540

AREferences 1 and 2.

Abbreviations: TJ - tubojet, TF - turbofan, TP - turboprop, R - reciprocating piston, O - opposed piston. All - Detroit Diesel Allison Division of General Motors, Con - Teledyne/Continental, GA - Garrett AiResearch, GE - General Electric, Lyc - Avco/Lycoming, P&W - Pratt & Chitagon MIC - Pract & Chitagon Microsoft Continental Whitney, PWC - Pratt & Whitney Aircraft of Canada, RR - Rolls Royce.

Table 11-1-2. MILITARY AIRCRAFT CLASSIFICATION^a

Aircraft						P	ower plant	
mission (Class)	DOD Designation	Popular name	Manufacturer ^b	Service	No.	ն Type ^C	Mfg. ^b	Designation
Combat	A -4	Skyhawk	McD-Doug	USN, USMC	1	TJ	P &W	J52, J65
	A-7	Corsair 2	Vought	USN	ī	TF	All, P&W	TF41, TF30
	F-4	Phantom 2	McD-Doug	USAF, USN	2	TJ	GE	J79
	F-5	Freedom Fighter/ Tiger 2	Northrop	USAF	2	TJ	GE	J85
	F-14	Tomcat	Grumman	USN	2	TF	P&W	TF30, F401
	F-15A	Eagle	McD-Doug	USAF	2	TF	P&W	F100
	F-16	-	CD/FW	USAF	1	TF	P&W	F100
lomber	B-52	Stratofortress	Boeing	USAF	8	TJ. TF	P&W	J57, TF33
Transport								
Patrol/Antisub	C-5A	Calaxy	GELAC	USAF	4	TF	GE	TF39
	C-130	Hercules	GELAC	USAF, USN, USCG	4	TP	A11	T56
	KC-135	Stratotanker	Boeing	USAF	4	TJ	P&W	J57
	C-141	Starlifter	GELAC	USAF	4	TF	P&W	TF33
	P-3C	Orion	CALAC	USN	4	TP	A11	Т56
	S-3A	Viking	CALAC	USN	2	TF	GE	TF34
rainer	T-34C	Turbo Mentor	Beech	USN	1	TP	P W C	PT6A
	1-38	Talon	Northrop	USAF	2	TJ	GE	J85
lelicopter	UH-1H	Iroquois/Huey	Bell	USA, USN	1	TS	Lyc, GE	753, 7 58
•	HII-3	Sea King/Jolly Green Glant	Sikorsky	USAF, USN, USCG	2	TS	CE	т58
	CH-47	Chinook	Boeing Vertol	USA	2	TS	Lyc	T55

a Reference 1. USN - U.S. Navy, USMC - U.S. Marine Corps, USAF - U.S. Air Force, USCG - U.S. Coast Gudrd, USA - U.S. Army. Abbreviations: All - Detroit Diesel Allison Division of General Motors, CALAC - Lockheed - California, GD/FW - General Dynamics, Ft. Worth, GE - General Electric, GELAC - Lockheed-Georgia, Lyc - Lycoming, McD-Doug - McDonnell Douglas, P&W - Pratt & Whitney, PWC - Pratt & Whitney Aircraft of Canada.

TJ - Turbojet, TF - Turbofan, TP - Turboprop, TS - Turboshaft.

Table II-1-3. TYPICAL DURATION FOR CIVIL LTO CYCLES AT LARGE CONGESTED METROPOLITAN AIRPORTS

Aircraft			Mode			
	Taxi/ Idle out	Takeoff	Climbout	Approach	Taxi/ Idle in	Total
Commercial carrier						
Jumbo, long and medium						
range jet ^b	19.0	0.7	2.2	4.0	7.0	32.9
Turboprop ^C	19.0	0.5	2.5	4.5	7.0	33.5
Transport- piston	6.5	0.6	5.0	4.6	6.5	23.2
General aviation						
Business jet	6.5	0.4	0.5	1.6	6.5	15.5
Turboprop ^C	19.0	0.5	2.5	4.5	7.0	33.5
Piston ^d	12.0	0.3	5.0	6.0	4.0	27.3
Helicopter	3.5	-	6.5	6.5	3,5	20.0

Reference 3. Data given in minutes.

Same times as EPA Classes T2, T3 and T4 (Note b, Table II-1-5).

Same times as EPA Classes T1 and P2 (Note b, Table II-1-5).

Same times as EPA Class P1 (Note b, Table II-1-5).

Table II-1-4. TYPICAL DURATION FOR MILITARY LTO CYCLES

Aircraft	TIM ^b Code			<u>Mode</u>			
		Taxi/ Idle out	Takeoff	Climbout	Approach	Taxi/ Idle in	Total
Combat							
USAF	1	18.5	0.4	0.8	3.5 ·	11.3	34.5
u sn ^d	2	6.5	0.4	0.5	1.6	6.5	15.5
Trainer - Turbine							
USAF T-38	3	12.8	0.4	0.9	3.8	6.4	24.3
USAF general	4	6.8	0.5	1.4	4.0	4.4	17.1
usn ^d	2	6.5	0.4	0.5	1.6	6.5	15.5
Transport e- Turbine							
USAF general	5	9.2	0.4	1.2	5.1	6.7	22.6
usn [£]	6	19.0	0.5	2.5	4.5	7.0	33.5
USAF B-52 and KC-135	7	32.8	0.7	1.6	5.2	14.9	55.2
Military - Piston	8	6.5	0.6	5.0	4.6	6.5	23.2
Military - Helicopter	9	8.0	_	6.8	6.8	7.0	28.6

^aReference 1. Data given in minutes. USAF - U.S. Air Force, USN - U.S. Navy.
TIM Code defined in Table II-1-5.

dFighters and attack craft only.

dTime-in-mode is highly variable. Taxi/idle out and in times as high as 25 and 17 minutes, respectively, have been noted. Use local data base if epossible.

Includes all turbine craft not specified elsewhere (i.e., transport, fcargo, observation, patrol, antisubmarine, early warning, and utility). Same as EPA Class P2 for civil turboprops.

Table II-1-5. ENGINE POWER SETTINGS FOR TYPICAL EPA LTO COMMERCIAL CYCLES^a

Mode	Power setti	Power setting (% thrust or horsepower)									
	Class T1, P2 ^b	Class T2,T3, T4 ^b	Class P1	Helicopter							
Taxi/Idle (out)	Idle	Idle	Idle								
Takeoff	100	100	100								
Climbout	90	85	75 - 100	Undefined							
Approach	30	30	4 Q								
Taxi/Idle (in)	Idle	Idle	Idle								

Class Tl is all aircraft turbofan or turbojet engines except Class T5 of rated power less than 8000 lbs thrust.

Class T2 is all turbofan or turbojet aircraft engines except Classes T3, T4 and T5 of rated power of 8000 lbs thrust or greater.

Class T3 is all aircraft gas turbine engines of the JT3D model family.

Class T4 is all aircraft gas turbine engines of the JT8D model family.

Class T5 is all aircraft gas turbine engines on aircraft designed to operate at supersonic speeds.

Class Pl is all aircraft piston engines, except radial.

Class P2 is all aircraft turboprop engines.

Table II-1-6. ENGINE POWER SETTINGS FOR A TYPICAL LTO MILITARY CYCLE^a

Mode	Power setting	Power setting (% thrust or horsepower)										
	Military transport	Military jet	Military piston	Military h e licopter								
Taxi/Idle (out) Takeoff	Idle Military	Idle Military or	5 - 10	Idle								
	•	Afterburner	100	-								
Climbout	90 - 100	Military	75	60 - 75								
Approach	30	84 - 86	30	45 - 50								
Taxi/Idle (in)	Idle	Idle	5 - 10	Idle								

a Reference 1.

a References 1 and 3. As defined by EPA (Reference 3):

TABLE II-1-7. MODAL EMISSION RATES-CIVIL AIRCRAFT ENGINES^a

Model-Series	Mode	Fue	Rate		:o	N	o် _k	Tota	1 HC		o _x	Particu	lates
Míg ^b Type ^b		lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/br	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr
250B17B All. TP	Idle Takeoff Climbout	63 26 5 24 5	28,58 120,2 111,1	6.13 2.07 2.21	2.78 0.939 1.00	0.09 1.75 1.46	0.041 0.794 0.662	1,27 0.07 0.09	0.576 0.032 0.041	0.06 0.27 0.25	0.03 0.12 0.11		
	Approach	85	38.56	4.13	1.87	0.19	0.086	0.44	0.200	0.09	0.04		
501D22A All. TP	Idle Takeoff Climbout	610 2376 2198	276.7 1078 997	26.60 4.85 4.53	12.07 2.20 2.05	2.15 21.10 20.27	0.975 9.57 9.19	10.74 0.67 1.96	4.87 0.304 0.889	0,61 2,38 2,20	0.28 1.08 1.00		
	Approach	1140	517.1	5.81	2,64	8.54	3.87	2.23	1.01	1.14	0,52		
TPE 331-3 GA TP	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	112.0 458.0 409.0 250.0	50.8 207.7 185.5 113.4	6 89 0.350 0.400 1.74	3.12 0.159 0.181 0.789	0,320 5,66 4,85 2,48	0.145 2.57 2.20 1.12	8.86 0.050 0.060 0.160	4.02 0.023 0.027 0.073	0.11 0.46 0.41 0.25	0.05 0.21 0.19 0.11	0.3 ⁶⁸ 0.8 0.6 0.6	0.14 ⁸ 0.36 0.27 0.27
TPE331-2 GA TP	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	105.0 405.0 372.0 220.0	47.6 183.7 168.7 99.8	6.73 0.38 0.51 3.65	3.05 0.172 0.231 1.66	0.27 4.14 3.69 1.82	0,22 1.88 1.67 0.826	9.58 0.16 0.15 0.59	4.34 0,072 0.068 0,268	0.11 0.41 0.37 0.22	0.05 0.18 0.17 0.10	(Assum data)	ie 331-3
TPE 731-2 GA TF	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	181.0 1552.0 1385.0 521.0	82.1 704.0 628.2 236.3	11.11 1.86 1.80 9.53	5,04 0.844 0,816 4.32	0.54 29.8 23.68 3.59	0,245 13.52 10.74 1.63	4.05 0.14 0.12 1.51	1.84 0.064 0.054 0.685	0.18 1.55 1.39 0.52	0.08 0.70 0.63 0.24		
CJ 610-2C GE TJ	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	510.0 2780.0 2430.0 1025.0	231.3 1261.0 1102.0 464.9	79.05 75.06 65.61 90.20	35,86 34,05 29,76 40,91	0.46 11.68 8.99 1.54	0.209 5.30 4.08 0.698	9.18 0.28 0.49 2,77	4.16 0.127 0.222 1.26	0.51 2.78 2.43 1.03	0.23 1.26 1.10 0.46		
CF700-2D GE TF	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	460 2607 2322 919	208.7 1182 1053 416.9	71,30 57,35 58 05 56,98	32.34 26.01 26.33 25.85	0.41 14.60 9.98 1.65	0.186 6.62 4.53 0.748	8,28 0,26 0,23 1,29	3.76 0.118 0.104 0.585	0.46 2.61 2.32 0.92	0.21 1.18 1.05 0.42		
CF6-6D GE TF	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	1063 13750 11329 3864	482.2 6237 5139 1753	65,06 8,25 6,80 23,18	29.51 3.74 3.03 10.51	4.88 467.5 309.2 41.54	2.21 212.1 140.2 18.84	21.79 8.25 6.80 6.96	9.88 3.74 3.08 3.16	1.06 13.75 11.33 3.86	0.48 6.24 5.14 1.75	0.04 ^g 0.54 0.54 0.44	0.02 ⁸ 0.24 0.24 0.20
CF6-50C GE TF	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	1206 18900 15622 5280	547 8573 7104 2395	88.04 0.38 4.70 22.70	39.93 0.172 2.13 10.30	3.02 670.95 462.0 52.8	1.37 304.3 209.6 23.95	36,18 0,19 0,16 0,05	16.41 0.086 0.073 0.023	1.21 18.90 15.62 5.28	0,55 8,57 7,10 2,40	(Assum data)	e CF6-61

TABLE II-1-7 (CONTINUED)

fodel-Series	Mode	Fue	l Rate	c	0	NO	c	Total HC ^d		so		Partic	ulates
dig ^b Type ^b		lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	ib/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr
JT3D-7	Idle	1013	459.5	140.8	63.87	2,23	1.01	124.6	56.52	1.01	0.46	0.45 ^g	0.20 ⁸
P&W TF	Takeoff	9956	4516	8.96	4.06	126.4	57,34	4.98	2.26	9.96	4.52	8.25	3.7
	Climbout	8188	3714	15.56	7.06	78.6	35.65	3.28	1.49	8.19	3.71	8.5	3.9
	Approach	3084	1399	60.14	27.28	16.35	7.42	6.48	2.94	3.08	1.40	8.0	3.6
JT8D-17	Idle	1150	521.6	39.10	17.74	3.91	1.77	10.10	4.58	1.15	0.52	0.36g.h	0.16 ⁸
P&W TF	Takeoff	9980	4527	6.99	3.17	202.6	91.90	.50	0.227	9.98	4.53	3.7	1.7
	Climbout	7910	3588	7.91	3.59	123.4	55.97	.40	0.181	7.91	3.59	2.6	1.2
	Approach	2810	1275	20.23	9.18	19.39	8.80	1.41	0,640	2.81	1.28	1.5	0.68
JT9D-7	Idle	1849	8 38 . 7	142.4	64.59	5.73	2.60	55.10	24.99	1.85	0.84	2.21	1.0
P&W TF	Takeoff	16142	7322	3.23	1.47	474.6	215.3	0.81	0,367	16.14	7,32	3.75	1.7
	Climbout	13193	5984	6.60	2.99	282.3	128.0	1.32	0.599	13.19	5.98	4.0	1.8
	Approach	4648	2108	44.62	20.24	36.25	16.44	4.65	2.11	4.65	2.11	2.3	1.0
JT9D-70	Idle	1800	816.5	61.20	27.76	5.76	2.61	12.24	0.55	1.80	0.82		
P&W TF	Takeoff	19380	8791	3.88	1.76	600.8	272.5	2.91	1.32	19.38	8.79		
raw II	Climbout	15980	7248	4.79	2.17	386.7	175.4	2.40	1.09	15.98	7.25	(assume	JT9D-
	Approach	5850	2654	7.61	3.45	47.39	21.50	2.63	1.19	5,85	2.65	data)	
JT15D-1	ldle	215	97,52	19.46	8.83	0.54	0.245	7.48	3.39	0.22	0.10		
PWC TF	Takeoff	1405	637.3	1.41	0.640	14.19	6.44	0	0	1.41	0.64		
PWCIF	Climbout	1247	565.6	1.25	0.567	11.35	5.15	ŏ	ő	1.25	0.57		
	Approach	481	218.2	11.45	5.19	2.45	1.11	1.59	0.721	0.48	0.22		
PT6A-27	Idle	115	52.16	7.36	3.34	0.28	0.127	5.77	2,62	0.12	0.05	_	
PWC TP	Takeoli	425	192.8	0.43	0.195	3.32	1.51	0	0	0.43	0.19		
FWC IF	Climbout	400	181.4	0.48	0.218	2.80	1.27	Ö	Ō	0.40	0.18		
	Approach	215	97.52	4.95	2,24	1.80	0.816	0.47	0.213	0.22	0.10		
PT6A-41	Idle	147	66.63	16.95	7.69	0.29	0,132	14.94	6.78	0.15	0.07		
PWC TP	Takeoff	510	231.3	2.60	1.18	4.07	1.85	0.89	0.404	0.51	0.23		
1 40 11	Climbout	473	214,6	3.07	1.39	3.58	1.62	0.96	0.435	0.47	0.21		
	Approach	273	123.8	9.50	4.31	1.27	0.576	6.20	2.81	0.27	0.12		
Spey 555-15	Idle	915	415	83.2	37.7	1.6	0.7	86.0	43.5	0.92	0.42		
RR TF	Takeoff	5734	2600	6.5	3.0	109.2	49.5	29.5	13.4	5.73	2.60		
KK II	Climbout	4677	2121	0.0	0.0	68.7	31.2	2.5	1.1	4.68	2,12		
	Approach	1744	791	34.8	15.8	10.2	4.6	14.3	6.5	1.74	. 0.79		
Spey MK5118		946	429.1	104.4	47.36	0.785	0.356	80.03	36.30	0.95	0.43	0.17	0.077
RR TF	Takeoff	7057	3201	16.16	7.33	156.7	71.08	13.97	6.34	7.06	3.20	16.0	7.3
NH IF	Climbout	5752	2609	0.0	0.0	116.8	52.98	0.0	0.0	5.75	2.61	10.0	4.5
	Approach	2204	999.7	48.71	22.09	16.00	7.26	20.56	9.33	2.20	1.00	1.5	0.68
M45H-011	Idle	366	166.0	55.63	25.23	0.622	0.282	11.53	5,23	0.37	0.17		
RR (Bristol)	Takeoff	3590	1628	7.18	3.26	32.31	14.66	0.718	0.326	3.59	1.62		
TF	Climbout	3160	1433	9.48	4.30	25.28	11.47	0.632	0.287	3.16	1.43		
**	Approach	1067	484.0	53.56	24.29	3.57	1.62	6.61	3.00	1.07			

TABLE II-1-7 (CONTINUED)

Model-Series	Mode	Fuel	Rate	C	0	NO	o <mark>c</mark>	Total	нс ^d	so _x e		Particulates
Mfg ^b Type ^b		lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr kg/hr
RB-211-22B ⁱ RR TF	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	1718 14791 12205 4376	779.3 6709 5536 1985	137.6 5.62 14.89 93.78	64.42 2.55 6.75 42.54	5.31 504.1 301.9 32.26	2.41 228.7 136.9 14.63	100.1 29.14 8.30 32.16	45.36 13.22 3.76 14.59	1.72 14.79 12.21 4.38	0.78 6.71 5.54 1.99	
RH-211-524 ⁱ RR TF	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	1769 17849 14688 5450	802.4 8096 6662 2472	35.91 7.32 7.34 11.72	16.29 3.32 3.33 5.32	4.74 660.4 470.0 62.89	2.15 299.6 213.2 28.53	5.43 1.96 2.50 0.545	2.46 0.889 1.13 0.247	1.77 17.85 14.69 5.45	0.80 8.10 6.67 2.47	
RB-401-06 ¹ RR TF	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	330 2400 2130 775	149.7 1089 966.2 351.5	10.07 2.40 2.77 5.04	4.57 1.09 1.26 2.29	0,825 30.0 24,07 3.88	0.374 13-61 10.92 1.76	0.924 0.120 0.107 0.155	0.419 0.054 0.049 0.070	0.33 2.40 2.13 0.78	0.15 1.09 0.97 0.35	
Dart RDa7 ¹ RR TP	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	411 1409 1248 645	186.4 639.1 566.1 292.6	37.61 4.79 4.26 21,48	17,06 2,17 1,93 9,74	0,292 8.51 5.55 0.568	0.132 3.86 2.52 0.258	25.52 8.75 2.15 0.0	11.58 3,97 0.975 0.0	0.41 1.41 1.25 0.65	0.19 0.64 0.57 0.29	
Tyne ^{g, 1} RR TP	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	619 2372 2188 1095	280.8 1076 922.5 496.7	40.79 1.21 1.29 11.30	18.50 0.549 0.585 5.13	0.477 27.11 25.23 9.00	0,216 12,30 11,44 4,08	6.63 2.87 2.63 2.68	3.01 1.31 1.19 1.22	0.62 2.37 2.19 1.10	0.28 1 08 0.99 0.50	
Olympus 593 ⁱ MK610 RR (Bristol) TJ	Idle Takeoff Climbout Descent Approach	3060 52200 19700 5400 9821	1388 23673 8936 2449 4455	342.7 1513.8 275.8 426.6 451.8	155.4 686.5 125.1 193.5 204.9	9.72 542.9 169.4 18.9 41.25	4.41 246.2 76.84 8.6 18.71	119.3 151.4 31.52 132.3 93.30	54.11 68.7 14.30 60.0 42.32	3.06 52.2 19.70 5.4 9.82	1.39 23.7 8.94 2.4 4.46	
0-200 Con. O	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	8.24 45.17 45.17 25.50	3.75 20.53 20.53 11.59	5 31 44 0 44.0 30.29	2.42 20.0 20.0 13.75	0.013 0,220 0.220 0.029	0.006 0.100 0.100 0.013	0.239 0.940 0.940 0.847	0.107 0.427 0.427 0.385	0.0 0.01 0.01 0.01	0 0 0	
TSIO-360C Con. O	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	11.5 133. 99.5 61.0	5.21 60.3 45.1 27.7	6.81 143.9 95.6 60.7	3.09 65,3 43,4 27.5	0,022 0,36 0,43 0,23	0.009 0.16 0.20 0.10	1.59 1.22 0.95 0.69	0.723 0.55 0.43 0.31	0.0 0.03 0.02 0.01	0.0 0.01 0.01 0.01	
6-285-B (Tiara) Con. O	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	72.12 153.0 166.0 83.5	10.03 69.39 52.61 37.88	26,23 152.7 110.9 85.39	11.90 69.3 50.3 38.77	0.0334 0.899 0.913 0.394	0.0152 0.408 0.414 0.179	0,773 1,78 1,39 1,343	0.350 0.806 0.632 0.609	0.0 0.03 0.02 0.02	0.0 0.01 0.01 0.01	

TABLE II-1-7 (CONCLUDED)

Model-Series	Mode	Fue	l Rate	(co	NO	c Y	Tota	ı нс ^d	s	o <u>e</u>	Partic	ا
Míg. Type ^b	_	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/br	kg/hr
O-320 Lyc. O	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	9,48 89,1 66,7 46,5	4.30 40.4 30.3 21.1	10.21 96.0 66.0 56.8	4.63 43.5 29.9 25.8	0.0049 0.195 0.265 0.044	0.0022 0.088 0.120 0.020	0.350 1.05 0.826 0.895	0.159 0.475 0.375 0.406	0.0 0.02 0.01 0.01	0.0 0.01 0.01 0.0		
IO-320-DIAD Lyc. O	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	7.84 91.67 61.42 37.67	3.56 41.57 27.85 17.08	4.86 109.3 54.55 35.57	2,20 49,55 24.74 16.13	0.009 0.167 0.344 0.128	0.0041 0.0756 0.156 0.058	0.283 1.047 0.588 0.460	0.128 0.475 0.267 0.208	0.0 0.02 0.01 0.01	0.0 0.01 0.01 0.0		
10-360-B Lyc. O	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	8.09 103.0 71.7 36.6	3,68 46,7 32,5 16,6	7.26 123.5 70.5 25.3	3.29 56.0 32.0 11.5	0.0094 0.205 0.329 0.372	0.0042 0.093 0.149 0.169	0.398 1.03 0.585 0.355	0.180 0.469 0.265 0.161	0.0 0.02 0.01 0.01	0.0 0.01 0.01 0.0		
TIO-540- J2B2 Lyc. O	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	25,06 259.7 204.5 99.4	11.36 117.8 92.7 45.1	32,42 374,5 300,8 125,4	14.70 169.8 136.4 56.9	0.0097 0.094 0.0481 0.138	0.0044 0.043 0.0218 0.0623	1.706 3.21 3.40 1.33	0,774 1,46 1,54 0,604	0.01 0.05 0.04 0.02	0.0 0.02 0.02 0.01		

aReferences 1.2.

b Abbreviations: All - Detroit Diesel Allison Division of General Motors; Con - Teledyne/Continental; GA - Garrett AiResearch; GE - General Electric; Lyc - Avco/Lycoming; P&W - Pratt & Whitney; PWC - Pratt & Whitney Aircraft of Canada; RR - Rolls Royce; TJ - Turbojet; TF - Turbofan; TP - Turboprop; O - Reciprocating (Piston) Opposed.

Nitrogen oxides reported as NO2.

^dTotal hydrocarbons. Volatile organics, including unburned hydrocarbons and organic pyrolysis products.

Sulfur oxides and sulfuric acid reported as SO₂. Calculated from fuel rate and 0.05 wt% sulfur in Jet A and Jet B fuel, or 0.01 wt% sulfur in aviation gasoline. For turbine engines, the conversion is therefore SO_x (lb/hr) = 10⁻³ (fuel rate), and for piston engines, the conversion is SO_x (lb/hr) = 2 x 10⁻⁴ (fuel rate).

All particulate data are from Reference 4. Does not include condensible compounds,

The indicated reference does not specify series number for this model engine.

h "Diluted smokeless" JT 8D. Note: JT8D is a turbofan engine and is not equivalent to the JT8 (Military 152) turbojet engine.

¹All Rolls Royce data are based upon an arbitrary 7% idle, which does not reflect the actual situation. In reality, Rolls Royce engines will idle at 5-6% with correspondingly higher emissions (Reference 2).

The Olympus 593 engine used in the Concorde SST has a unique 6-mode LTO cycle,

TABLE II-1-8. MODAL EMISSION RATES - MILITARY AIRCRAFT ENGINES^a

Model-Series (Civil Version)	Mode	Fuel	Rate	(со	И	o _x	Tota	I HC ^c	sc	,d	Particu	lates ^{e, f}
Migh Typeh		lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb,'hr	kg/h ₄	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/nr
J57-P-22	Idle	1047	493	64.4	29.2	2.7	1.2	55.8	25.3	1.1	0.5	8.3	3 8
(JT3C)	Takeoff	8 358	3791	14.9	6.8	93.3	42.3	5.4	2.4	8.4	3.8	12.9	5.4
P&W TJ	Climbout	8358	3791	14.9	6.8	93.3	42.3	5.4	2.4	8.4	3.8	12 0	5 4
	Approach	1693	768	39 8	18.1	5.0	2.3	21.0	9.5	1.7	08		
J65-W-20	ldle	1333	605	66.9	30.3	3.7	1.7	5.0	2.3	1.3	0.6		
Wr. TJ	Takeoff	6421	2913	49.6	22.5	48.5	22 0	0.2	0.1	6.4	2.9		
	Climbout	6421	2913	49.6	22.5	48.5	22.0	0,2	0.1	6.4	29		
	Approach	3260	1479	52.6	23.9	23.7	10 8	0.9	0.4	3.3	1.5		
J79-GE-10	Idle	1100	499	48.0	21.8	3.2	1.5	9.8	4.4	1.1	0 5	57.8	26 2
GE TJ	Takeoff	35 190	16053	6119	277.6	241.3	109.5	17.2	7.8	35.4	16.1	299 7	135.9
	Chimbout	9630	4482	52.0	23.6	151.B	68.9	16.0	7.3	9.9	4.5	77.7	35.2
	Approach	6190	2808	45,6	20.7	69.9	31.7	4.1	1.9	6.2	2.8	67.0 (nu	m) 30.4
J85-GE-5F	Idle	524	328	93.3	42.3	υ.7	0.3	15.7	7.1	0.5	0.2		
GE TJ for T38	Take of!	8470	3942	245.6	111.4	22 0	10.0	6.6	3.1	8.5	39		
	Clinibout	1297	588	55.8	25.3	3.0	1.4	4.5	2.0	1.3	0.6		
	Арргоасћ	1098	478	63.7	28.9	3.0	1.4	1.3	0.6	1.1	0.5		
J85-GE-21	idle	400	181	63.6	2b 4	υ 5	0 2	9.7	4.4	0.4	0.2		
GE 1J for F-5	Takcolf	10650	4831	387.7	175.8	59.6	27.0	1.1	0.5	10.7	4 9		
	Climbout	3200	1452	69.0	31.3	16.0	7.3	0.8	0.4	3.2	1.5		
	Approach	1200	544	55.5	25.1	3.5	16	3. t	1.4	1.2	0.5		
TF 30-17-613	liile	689	313	47.0	21,3	0.9	0.4	12.9	5.9	0.7	0.3		
(JF% 10)	Takeoff	6835	3100	21.1	9.6	82.3	37.3	6.9	3.1	6.8	3 1		
PRM 1E	Climbout	68 35	3100	21 1	9.6	82.3	37.3	6.9	3.1	6.8	3.1		
for A-7	Approach	3550	1610	22.4	10.2	23.7	10.8	10.5	4.8	3,6	1.6		
TF 30-P-412A	ldle	999	453	68 1	30 9	2.4	1.1	38.4	17.4	1.0	0.5	20 5	12 0
(JFT 10A)	Takeoff	40506	18144	600.0	272,2	270.0	122.5	40.0	18.1	40.0	18.1	693 2	314.4
P&W TJ	Chabout	7394	3 35 4	15.7	7 1	123.2	55.9	0.7	0.3	7.4	3.4	617	29 0
for F-14	Approach	259H	1178	39.5	17.9	18.4	8.3	2.9	1.3	26	1.2	46 b (no	m) 21.2
TF33-P-3/5/7	Idle	846	384	74.9	34.0	1,5	0.7	77.8	35,3	0.8	0 4	4 4	2.0
(JT3D)	Takeoff	9479	4526	13.0	5.9	109.8	49.8	3.0	1.4	100	4.5	79.8	36.2
PLW TJ	Climbout	7323	3322	13.2	6.0	65.9	29.9	2.9	1.3	7.3	3, 3	102 5	46.5
	Approach	3797	1722	34.2	15.5	27.7	12.6	14.4	6.5	3.8	1.7	53.1	24.1
TF34-GE-400	[dle	457	207	35.0	15.9	0.6	6.3	7.3	3.2	0.5	0.2		
GE TJ	Takeoff	3796	1722	9.3	4.2	20.9	9.5	1.6	0.7	3.8	1.7		
	Climbout	3796	1722	9.3	4.2	20.9	95	1.6	0.7	3.8	1.7		
	Approach	1296	588	19.4	8.8	10.0	4.5	0.8	0.4	1.3	0.6		

TABLE 11-1-8 (CONCLUDED)

Model-Series	Mode	Fuel	Rate	c	0	с·и	b	Total	HC c	so	d 	Partico	ulates é, f
(Civil-Version) Migh Typeh		lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb,'hr	kg,'hr
TF39-GE+1 (JT4A)	ldle Takeoif	1130 11410	513 5176	75.7 8.0	34.3 3.6	3.4 319.5	1.5 144.9	26.0 2.3	11.8	1.1 11.4	0.5 5.2	0.38 17.18	D 1 7.8
GE TJ	Climbout Approach	5740 5740	2604 2604	4.0 4.0	1.8 1.8	160.7 160.7	72.9 72.9	1.1 1.1	0.5 0.5	5.7 5.7	2.6 2.6	8.08 8.08	3.6 3.6
TF41-A-2 All, TF	Idie Takeoff	1070	485 4101	114.6 14.4	52.0 6.5	1.4 201.4	0.6 91.4	70.8 5.3	32.1 2.4	1.1	0.5 4.1		
	Climbout Approach	9040 5314	4101 2410	14.4 27.5	6.5 12,5	201.4 56,6	91.4 25.7	5.3 12.9	2.4 5.9	9.0 5.3	4.1 2.4		
F100-PW-100 (JTF 22) P&W TF	Idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	1060 44200 10400 3000	481 20049 4717 1361	20.5 2435.4 18.7 9.0	9.3 1104.7 8.5 4.1	4.2 729.3 457.6 33.0	1.9 330.8 207.6 15.0	2.4 4.4 0.5 1.8	1.1 2.0 0.2 0.8	1.1 44.2 10.4 3.0	0 5 20.0 4.7 1.4	0.18 0.08 8.68 1.08	G 05 0 0 3.9 0.5
PT6A-27 PWC TP	idle Takeoff Climbout Approach	115 425 400 215	52 193 181 98	7.36 0.43 0.48 5.0	3, 34 0, 20 0, 22 2, 24	0.28 3.32 2.80 1.80	0 13 1 51 1.27 0 82	5.77 0 0 0,47	2 62 0 0 0,21	0 12 0.43 6 40 0.22	0.05 0.20 0.18 0.10		
T56-A7 All. TP	ldle Takeoff Climbout Approach	548 2079 1908 1053	249 943 865 478	17.5 4.4 4.6 3.7	7.9 2.0 2.1 1.7	2.1 19.3 17.6 7.8	1.0 B.8 B.0 3.5	11.5 0 8 0.9 0.5	5,2 0,4 0,4 0,2	0.5 2.1 0.9 1.1	0.2 1 0 0.4 0.5	1.6 3.7 3.0 3.0	0 7 1.7 1 4 1 4
T53-L-11D (LTG1) Lyc TS	ldle Climbout Approach	142 679 679	64 308 308	4.2 2.0 2.0	1.9 0.9 0.9	0.2 5.0 5.0	0.1 2.3 2,3	9.0 0.2 0.2	4.1 0.1 0.1	0.14 0.68 0.68	0.06 0.31 0.31		
T55-L-11A (LTC4) Lyc TS	Idle Climbout Approach			29.5 14.5 12.9	13.4 6,6 5.9	0.8 18.6 9.1	4.0 8.4 4.1	4.0 0.2 0.3	1.8 0.1 0.1				
T54+GE-5 GE TS	Idle Climbout Approach	133 886 886	60 402 402	22.5 5.0 5.0	10.2 2.3 2.3	0.2 6.4 6.4	0.1 2.9 2.9	12.9 0.7 0.7	5.9 0.3 0.3	0.1 0.9 0.9	0,05 0.4 0.4	0.1 0.8 0.8	0.03 3.4 0.4

⁴Reference 1.

bNitrogen oxides reported as NO2.

Clotal hydrocarbons. Volatile organics, including unburned hydrocarbons and organic pyrolysis products.

dSulfur oxides and sulfuric acid reported as SO₂. Calculated from fuel rate and 0.05 wt% sulfur in JP-4 or JP-5 fuel, or 0.01 wt% sulfur in aviation gasoline. For turbine engines, the conversion is therefore SO_x (lb/hr) = 10⁻³ (fuel rate), and for piston engines, the conversion is SO_x (lb/hr) = 2 x 10⁻⁴ (fuel rate).

elncludes all "condensible particulates," and thus may be much higher than solid particulates alone (except as noted in g below).

Kinom." data are interpolated values assumed for calculational purposes, in the absence of experimental data.

BDry particles only.

h) or abbreviations, see footnote, Table 11-12.

Antakentf" mode is undefined for helicopters.

TABLE II-1-9. EMISSION FACTORS PER AIRCRAFT PER LANDING/TAKEOFF CYCLE-CIVIL AIRCRAFT^a

		Powe	r Plant	c	0	N	ບ ູ ້	Tota	и нс _q	sc)	Partic	ulates
Commercial Carrier Aircraft	No.	Míg.	Model-Series	lb	kg	lb	kg	lb	kg	lb	kg	lb	kg
Short, Medium, Long Range	·	• •											
BAC/Aerospatiale Concorde	4	RR	Olymp 593	847.0	384.0	91.0	41.0	246.0	112.0	14.1 1.70	6. 4 0.77	1.46	0.6
BAC 111-400	2	RR	Spey 511	103.36	46.88	15.04	6.82	72.42	32.85				2.0
Boeing 707-320B	4	₹,F M	JT 3D-7	262.64	119.12	25.68	11.64	218.24	99.00	4.28	1 94	4.52	
Bueing 727-200	3	₽₽.W	JT8D-17	55.95	25,38	29.64	13.44	13.44	6.09	3.27	1.48	1.17	0.5
Boeing 737-200	2	₽₩W	JT8D-17	37.30	16.92	19.76	8.96	8.96	4.06	2.18	0 99	0.78	0.3
Bueing 747-200B	4	₽₽.M	JT9D-7	259.64	117.76	83.24	37.76	96.92	43.96	7.16	3.25	5.20	2.3
Boeing 747-200B	4	15# M	JT9D-70	108.92	49 40	107.48	48 76	22.40	10.16	7.96	3.61	5.20	2.3
Boeing 747-200B	4	НR	RB211-524	66.76	30.28	124.9	56.65	10.00	4.54	7.52	3 41		
Lockheed L1011-200	5	RR	RB211-524	50.07	27.71	93.66	42.48	7 50	3.40	5.64	2 56		
Lockheed [.1011-100	3	RK	RB211-22B	199.4	90.44	64.29	29.16	138.4	62,77	4 95	2.24		
McDonnell-Douglas DC8-63	4	126 W	JT3D-7	262.64	119.12	25.68	11 64	218 24	99.00	3 27	1 48	1 17	05
McDonnell-Douglas DC9-50	Ž	PLW	JT8()-17	37.30	16.92	19.76	8 96	8.96	4.06	2 18	0 99	0.75	0.39
McDonnell-Douglas DC10-30	3	GE	CF6-50C	116.88	53.01	49.59	22 17	47.10	21.36	4.98	2, 26	0 21	0.1
Air Carrier Turboprops - Commuter, Feeder Line and													
Freighters													
Beech 99	2	PWC	PT6A-28	7.16	3.25	0.82	0.37	5.08	2.30	0.18	0.08		
GD/Convair 580	2	All	501	24.38	11.06	21.66	9.82	9.82	4.45	0.92	0.42		
Dellavilland Twin Otter	2	PWC	PT6A - 27	7.16	3 25	0.82	0.37	5.08	2.30	0.18	0.08		
Fairchild F27 and F11227	2	RR	R.Da.7	36.26	16.45	0.94	0.42	22.42	10.17	0.58	0.26		
Gruminan Goose	2	PWC	PT6A-27	7.16	3 25	0.82	0.37	5.08	2.30	0.18	0.08		
Lockheed Libb Electra	4	All	501	48.76	22.12	43.32	19.65	19 64	8.91	1.84	0.B3		
Lockheed L100 Hercules	- 4	All	501	48.76	22.12	43.32	19.65	19.64	8.91	1.84	0.83		
Swearingen Metro-2	;	GA	TPE 331-3	6.26	2.84	1.16	0.53	7.68	3.48	0.16	0.07	0.46	0.2

TABLE II-1-9 (CONCLUDED)

General Aviation		Power	r Plant	ď	co	NC	Ç	Tota	и нс <mark>а</mark>	SC	£	Partic	ulates
Aircraft	No.	Míg.	Model-Series	lb	kg	lb	kg	lb	kg	lb	kg	1b	kg
Business Jets													
Cessna Citation	2	P&W	JT15D-1	19.50	8.85	2.00	0.91	6.72	3.05	0.40	0.18		
Dassault Falcon 20	2	GE	CF700-2D	76.14	34.54	1.68	0.76	7.40	3, 36	0.78	0.35		
Gates Learjet 24D	2	GE	CJ610-6	88.76	40.26	1.58	0.72	8.42	3.82	0.84	0.38		
Gates Learjet 35, 36	2	GE	TPE 731-2	11.26	5.11	3.74	1.58	3.74	1.70	0.92	0.42		
Rockwell International Shoreliner 75A	2	GE	CF 700	76.14	34.54	1.08	0.76	7.40	3.36	0.78	0.35		
Business Turboprops (EPA Class P2)											•		
Beech B99 Airliner	2	PWC	PT6A - 27	7.16	3.25	0.82	0.37	5.08	2.30	0.18	0.08		
DeHavilland Twin Otter	2	PWC	PT6A-27	7.16	3.25	0.82	0.37	5.08	2.30	0.18	0.08		
Shorts Skyvan-3	2	GA	TPE-331-2	6.44	2.92	0.883	0.400	8.40	3.81	0.16	0.07	0.46	0.21
Swearingen Merlin IILA	2	GA	TPE-331-3	6.28	2.85	1.15	0.522	7.71	3.50	0.16	0.07	0.46	0.21
General Aviation Piston (EPA Class P1)					_								
Cessna 150	1	Con	0-200	8.32	3.77	0.02	0.01	0.23	0.10	0.0	0.0		
Piper Warrior	1	Lyc	0-320	14.37	6.52	0.02	0.01	0.26	0.12	0.0	0.0		
Cesana Pressurized Skymaster	2	Con	TS10-360C	33.10	15.01	0.13	0.06	1.15	0.52	0.0	0.0		
Piper Navajo Chieftain	Z	Lyc	T10-540	96.24	43.65	0.02	0.01	1.76	0.80	0.0	0.0		

aReference 2.

Abbreviations: All - Detroit Diesel Allison Division of General Motors; Con - Teledyne/Continental; GA - Garrett AiResearch; GE - General Electric; Lyc - Avco/Lycoming; P&W - Pratt & Whitney; PWC - Pratt & Whitney Aircraft of Canada; RR - Rolls Royce.

CNitrugen oxides reported as NO2.

dTotal hydrocarbons. Volatile organics, including unburned hydrocarbons and organic pyrolysis products.

^eSulfur oxides and sulfuric acid reported as SO₂.

Table 11-1-10. EMISSIONS FOR MILITARY AIRCRAFT LANDING/TAKEOFF CYCLES^a

A	ircraft		ower plant	TIMb	(00	NO	c x	Tota	al HC ^d	so	e x	Partic	ulates
		No.	Model/Series	code	<u>1b</u>	kg	1b	kg	<u>lb</u>	kg	lb	kg	1ь	kg
Fixed	Wing - Turbine													
A-4C	Skyhawk	1	J65-W-20	2	16.62	7.54	2.15	0.98	1.10	0.50	0.46	0.21		
A-7	Corsair 2	1	TP30-P-68	2	11.10	5.03	2.05	0.93	3.18	1.44	0.35	0.16		
A-7	Corsair 2	1	TF41-A-2	2	25.79	11.70	4.83	2.19	15.76	7.15	0.52	0.24		
B-52H	Stratofortress	8	TF-33-P-3/5/8	7	504.08	228.65	53.04	24.06	505.76	229.41	10.24	4.64	94.08	42.67
F-4	Phantom 2	2	J79-GE-10	2	32.24	14.62	10.88	4.94	4.94	2.24	1.46	0.66	33.92	15.39
F-5	Freedom													
	Fighter/Tiger	r 2	J85-GE-21	1	76.64	34.76	2.10	0.95	10.04	4.55	0.76	0.34		
F-14	Tomcat	2	TF30-P-412A	2	39.88	18.09	7.62	3.46	17.36	7.87	1.24	0.56	24.24	11.00
F-15A	Eagle	2	F100-PW-100	1	54.40	24.68	29.96	13.58	2.68	1.22	2.32	1.06	0.44	0.20
F-16	-	1	F100-PW-100	1	27.20	12.34	14.98	6.79	1.34	0.61	1.16	0.53	0.22	0.10
C-5A	Calaxy	4	TF39-GE-1	5	82.12	37.25	79.60	36.11	28,08	12.74	3.84	1.74	4.12	1.87
C-130	Hercules	4	T56-A-7	6	32.36	14.68	9.60	4.35	20.28	9.20	1.60	0.73	4.36	1.98
KC-135	Stratotanker	4	J57-P-22	7	220.92	100.21	24.64	11.18	185.56	84.17	5.36	2.43	31.36	14.22
C-141	Starlifter	4	TF33-P-3/5/7	5	92,40	41.91	19.20	8.71	87.68	39.77	3.00	1.36	33.00	14.97
T-34C	Turbo Mentor	1	PT6A-27	2	1.73	0.73	0.15	0.07	1.27	0.58	0.03	0.01		
T-38	Talon	2	J85-GE-5F	3	82.72	32,99	1.22	0.55	10,42	4.73	0.62	0.28		
P-3C	Orion	4	T56-A-7	6	32.36	14.68	9.60	4.35	20.28	9.20	1.60	0.73	4.36	1.98
S3A	Viking	2	TF34-GE-400	6	34.18	15.50	4.04	1.83	6.44	2.92	1.02	0.46		
Helico	pters - Turbine													
UH-1H HH-3	Troquois/Huey Sea King/Jolly	1	T53-L-11D	9	1.55	0.70	1.19	0.54	2,53	1.15	0.20	0.09		
,	Green Glant	2	T58-GE-5	9	13.54	6.14	3.02	1.37	6.78	3.08	0.44	0.20	0.40	0.18
CH-47	Chinook	2	T55-L-11A	9	20.94	9.50	6.68	3.03	2,10	0.95				

a Reference 1.

b Defined in Table II-1-5.

c Nitrogen oxides reported as NO₂.

d Total hydrocarbons. Volatile organics, including unburned hydrocarbons and organic pyrolysis products.

e Sulfur oxides and sulfuric acid reported as SO₂.

II- 1.3 Modal Emission Rates and Emission Factors per LTO Cycle

The first step in the calculation of aircraft emission factors is the development of a set of modal emission rates. These represent the quantity of pollutant released per unit time in each of the standard modes. Each mode is characterized by an engine power setting (given in Tables II-1-5 and II-1-6) and a fuel rate (the quantity of fuel consumed per unit time).

The following procedure is for calculation of aircraft emission factors per LTO cycle, starting with engine modal emission rates:

- 1) For a specific aircraft, determine the number and model of engines, using for example, Tables II- 1-1 or II-1-2.
- 2) Using Table II-1-7 or II-1-8, locate the appropriate engine data, and prepare a list of modal emission rates for each mode m and pollutant p:

$$(\frac{\Delta \mathbf{e}}{\Delta \mathbf{t}})$$
_{m,p}

- 3) Using known military assignment and mission, or civil aircraft type and application, use Table II- 1-3 or II-1-4 to select an appropriate set of times-in-mode (TIM)_m.
- 4) For each mode m and pollutant p, multiply the modal emission rate and TIM data for each mode and the sum over all modes. This will yield an emission factor per engine, which must be multiplied by the number of engines, N, to produce the emission factor per LTO cycle, E_p, for an aircraft:

$$E_{p} = N \Sigma \left(\frac{\Delta e}{\Delta t}\right)_{m,p}$$
 . (TIM)_m

On a conveniently laid out work sheet, this calculation can be set up easily on a hand calculator with one storage location.

Emission factors calculated in exactly this way are presented in Tables II-1-9 and II-1-10.

- 1. D. R. Sears, Air Pollutant Emission Factors for Military and Civil Aircraft, EPA-450/3-78-117, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, October 1978.
- R. G. Pace, "Technical Support Report Aircraft Emission Factors", Office of Mobile Source Air Pollution Control, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ann Arbor, MI, March 1977.

- 3. Control of Air Pollution for Aircraft and Aircraft Engines, 38 FR 19088, July 17, 1973.
- 4. M. Platt, et al., The Potential Impact of Aircraft Emissions upon Air Quality, APTD-1085, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, December 1971.

II-2 Locomotives

II-2.1 General — Railroad locomotives generally follow one of two use patterns: railyard switching or road-haul service. Locomotives can be classified on the basis of engine configuration and use pattern into five categories: 2-stroke switch locomotive (supercharged), 4-stroke switch locomotive. 2-stroke road service locomotive (supercharged), 2-stroke road service locomotive (turbocharged), and 4-stroke road service locomotive.

The engine duty cycle of locomotives is much simpler than many other applications involving diesel internal combustion engines because locomotives usually have only eight throttle positions in addition to idle and dynamic brake. Emission testing is made easier and the results are probably quite accurate because of the simplicity of the locomotive duty cycle.

II-2.2 Emissions – Emissions from railroad locomotives are presented two ways in this section. Table II-2-1 contains average factors based on the nationwide locomotive population breakdown by category. Table II-2-2 gives emission factors by locomotive category on the basis of fuel consumption and on the basis of work output (horsepower hour).

The calculation of emissions using fuel-based emission factors is straightforward. Emissions are simply the product of the fuel usage and the emission factor. In order to apply the work output emission factor, however, an

Table II-2-1. AVERAGE LOCOMOTIVE EMISSION FACTORS BASED ON NATIONWIDE STATISTICS²

Pollutant		emissions ^b kg/10 ³ liter
Particulates ^c	į. ; 25	3.0
Sulfur oxides ^d (SO _x as SO ₂)	57	6.8
Carbon monoxide	130	16
Hydrocarbons	94	11
Nitrogen oxides (NO _x as NO ₂)	370	44
Aldehydes (as HCHO)	5.5	0.66
Organic acids ^c	7	0.84

a Reference 1.

Based on emission data contained in Table 11-2-2 and the breakdown of locomotive use by engine category in the United States in Reference 1.

Data based on highway diesel data from Reference 2. No actual locomotive particulate test data are available.

d Based on a fue! sulfur content of 0.4 percent from Reference 3.

Table I I – 2-2. EMISSION FACTORS BY LOCOMOTIVE ENGINE CATEGORY* EMISSION FACTOR RATING: B

		Eng	ine category		
Pollutant	2-Stroke supercharged switch	4-Stroke switch	2-Stroke supercharged road	2-Stroke turbocharged road	4-Stroke road
Carbon monoxide					
lb/10 ³ gai	84	380	66	160	180
kg/10 ³ liter	10	46	7.9	19	22
g/hphr	3.9	13	1.8	4.0	4.1
g/metric hphr	3.9	13	1.8	4.0	4.1
Hydrocarbon					
lb/10 ³ gal	190	146	148	28	99
kg/10 ³ liter	23	17	18	3.4	12
g/hphr	8.9	5.0	4.0	0.70	2.2
g/metric hphr	8.9	5.0	4.0	0.70	2.2
Nitrogen oxides					
(NO _x as NO ₂)			!		
lb/10 ³ gal	250	490	350	330	470
kg/10 ³ liter	30	59	42	40	56
g/hphr	11	17	9.4	8.2	10
g/metric hphr	11	17	9.4	8.2	10

^a Use average factors (Table II -2-1) for pollutants not listed in this table.

additional calculation is necessary. Horsepower hours can be obtained using the following equation:

w=1ph

where:

w = Work output (horsepower hour)

1 = Load factor (average power produced during operation divided by available power)

p = Available horsepower

h = Hours of usage at load factor (1)

After the work output has been determined, emissions are simply the product of the work output and the emission factor. An approximate load factor for a line-haul locomotive (road service) is 0.4; a typical switch engine load factor is approximately 0.06.1

- 1. Hare, C.T. and K.J. Springer. Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines. Part 1. Locomotive Diesel Engines and Marine Counterparts. Final Report. Southwest Research Institute. San Antonio, Texas Prepared for the Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C., under Contract Number EHA 70-108. October 1972.
- 2. Young, T.C. Unpublished Data from the Engine Manufacturers Association. Chicago, Ill. May 1970.
- 3. Hanley, G.P. Exhaust Emission Information on Electro-Motive Railroad Locomotives and Diesel Engines. General Motors Corp. Warren, Mich. October 1971.

II-3 Inboard-Powered Vessels

II-3.1 General — Vessels classified on the basis of use will generally fall into one of three categories: commercial, pleasure, or military. Although usage and population data on vessels are, as a rule, relatively scarce, information on commercial and military vessels is more readily available than data on pleasure craft. Information on military vessels is available in several study reports, 1-5 but data on pleasure craft are limited to sales-related facts and figures, 6-10

Commercial vessel population and usage data have been further subdivided by a number of industrial and governmental researchers into waterway classifications 11-16 (for example, Great Lakes vessels, river vessels, and coastal vessels). The vessels operating in each of these waterway classes have similar characteristics such as size, weight, speed, commodities transported, engine design (external or internal combustion), fuel used, and distance traveled. The wide variation between classes, however, necessitates the separate assessment of each of the waterway classes with respect to air pollution.

Information on military vessels is available from both the U.S. Navy and the U.S. Coast Guard as a result of studies completed recently. The U.S. Navy has released several reports that summarize its air pollution assessment work.³⁻⁵ Emission data have been collected in addition to vessel population and usage information. Extensive study of the air pollutant emissions from U.S. Coast Guard watercraft has been completed by the U.S. Department of Transportation. The results of this study are summarized in two reports.¹⁻² The first report takes an in-depth look at population/usage of Coast Guard vessels. The second report, dealing with emission test results, forms the basis for the emission factors presented in this section for Coast Guard vessels as well as for non-military diesel vessels.

Although a large portion of the pleasure craft in the U.S. are powered by gasoline outboard motors (see section II-4 of this document), there are numerous larger pleasure craft that use inboard power either with or without "out-drive" (an outboard-like lower unit). Vessels falling into the inboard pleasure craft category utilize either Otto cycle (gasoline) or diesel cycle internal combustion engines. Engine horsepower varies appreciably from the small "auxiliary" engine used in sailboats to the larger diesels used in yachts.

II-3.2 Emissions

Commercial vessels. Commercial vessels may emit air pollutants under two major modes of operation: underway and at dockside (auxiliary power).

Emissions underway are influenced by a great variety of factors including power source (steam or diesel), engine size (in kilowatts or horsepower), fuel used (coal, residual oil, or diesel oil), and operating speed and load. Commercial vessels operating within or near the geographic boundaries of the United States fall into one of the three categories of use discussed above (Great Lakes, rivers, coastline). Tables II-3-1 and II-3-2 contain emission information on commercial vessels falling into these three categories. Table II-3-3 presents emission factors for diesel marine engines at various operating modes on the basis of horsepower. These data are applicable to any vessel having a similar size engine, not just to commercial vessels.

Unless a ship receives auxiliary steam from dockside facilities, goes immediately into drydock, or is out of operation after arrival in port, she continues her emissions at dockside. Power must be made available for the ship's lighting, heating, pumps, refrigeration, ventilation, etc. A few steam ships use auxiliary engines (diesel) to supply power, but they generally operate one or more main boilers under reduced draft and lowered fuel rates—a very inefficient process. Motorships (ships powered by internal combustion engines) normally use diesel-powered generators to furnish auxiliary power.¹⁷ Emissions from these diesel-powered generators may also be a source of underway emissions if they are used away from port. Emissions from auxiliary power systems, in terms of the

Table I I – 3-1. AVERAGE EMISSION FACTORS FOR COMMERCIAL MOTORSHIPS BY WATERWAY CLASSIFICATION EMISSION FACTOR RATING: C

		Classc	
Emissions ^a	River	Great Lakes	Coastal
Sulfur oxides ^b (SO _x as SO ₂) kg/10 ³ liter lb/10 ³ gal	3.2 27	3.2 27	3.2 27
Carbon monoxide kg/10 ³ liter lb/10 ³ gał	12 100	13 110	13 110
Hydrocarbons kg/10 ³ liter lb/10 ³ gal	6.0 50	7.0 59	6.0 50
Nitrogen oxides (NO _x as NO ₂) kg/10 ³ liter lb/10 ³ gal	33 280	31 260	32 270

^aExpressed as function of fuel consumed (based on emission data from Reference 2 and population/usage data from References 11 through 16.

quantity of fuel consumed, are presented in Table II-3-4. In some instances, fuel quantities used may not be available, so calculation of emissions based on kilowatt hours (kWh) produced may be necessary. For operating loads in excess of zero percent, the mass emissions (a₁) in kilograms per hour (pounds per hour) are given by:

$$e_1 = kle_f \tag{1}$$

where: k = a constant that relates fuel consumption to kilowatt hours.²

that is. 3.63×10^{-4} 1000 liters fuel/kWh

or

9.59 x 10⁻⁵ 1000 gal fuel/kWh

1 = the load, kW

 e_f = the fuel-specific emission factor from Table 3.2.3-4, kg/10³ liter (lb/10³ gal)

^bCalculated, not measured. Based on 0.20 percent sulfur content fuel and density of 0.854 kg/liter (7.12 lb/gal) from Reference 17.

CVery approximate particulate emission factors from Reference 2 are 470 g/hr (1.04 lb/hr). The reference does not contain sufficient information to calculate fuel-based factors.

Table I I - 3-2. EMISSION FACTORS FOR COMMERCIAL STEAMSHIPS - ALL GEOGRAPHIC AREAS **EMISSION FACTOR RATING: D**

					F	uel and oper	ating mode	1					
			Residua	at oil ^b			Distillate oil ^b						
	Hoteling		Cruise		Full		Hoteling		Cruise		Full		
Pollutant	kg/10 ³ liter	(b/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter	16/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter	16/10 ³ gal	
Particulates ^C	1.20 ^d	10.0 ^d	2.40	20.0	6.78	56.5	1.8	15	1.78	15	1.78	15	
Sulfur oxides (SO _x as SO ₂) ^e	19.18	1598	19.1S	1598	19.1S	1598	17.0\$	142S	17.08	142S	17.0\$	1425	
Carbon monoxide ^c	Neg ^d	Neg ^d	0.414	3.45	0.872	7.27	0.5	4	0.5	4	0.5	4	
Hydrocarbons ^C	0.38 ^d	3.2 ^d	0.082	0.682	0.206	1.72	0.4	3	0.4	3	0.4	3	
Nitrogen oxides {NO _x as NO ₂)	4.37	36.4	6.70	55.8	7.63	63.6	2.66	22.2	2.83	23.6	5.34	44.5	

^aThe operating modes are based on the percentage of maximum available power: "hoteling" is 10 to 11 percent of available power, "full" is 100 percent of available power, and "cruise" is an intermediate power (35 to 75 percent, depending on the test organization and vessel tested).

bTest organizations used "Navy Special" fuel oil, which is not a true residual oil. No vessel test data were available for residual oil combustion. "Residual" oil results are from References 2, 3, and 5. "Distillate" oil results are from References 3 and 5 only. Exceptions are noted. "Navy Distillate" was used as distillate test fuel.

Eparticulate, carbon monoxide, and hydrocarbon emission factors for distillate oil combustion are based on stationary boilers (see Section 1.3 of this document).

Reference 18 indicates that carbon monoxide emitted during hoteling is small enough to be considered negligible. This reference also places hydrocarbons at 0.38 kg/101 liter (3.2) (b)/10° gal) and particulate at 1.20 kg/10° liter (10.0 lb/10° gal). These data are included for completeness only and are not necessarily comparable with other tabulated data. Emission factors listed are theoretical in that they are based on all the sulfur in the fuel converting to sulfur dioxide. Actual test data from References 3 and 5 confirm the validity of these theoretical factors. "S" is fuel sulfur content in percent.

TableII-3-3. DIESEL VESSEL EMISSION FACTORS BY OPERATING MODE^a EMISSION FACTOR RATING: C

				Emis	ssions		
		Carbon r	nonoxide		carbons		n oxides is NO ₂)
	! [lb/10 ³	kg/10 ³	lb/10 ³	kg/10 ³	1b/10 ³	kg/10 ³
Horsepower	Mode	gal	liter	gal	liter	gal	liter
200	Idle	210.3	25.2	391.2	46.9	6.4	0.8
	Slow	145.4	17.4	103.2	12.4	207.8	25.0
	Cruise	126.3	15.1	170.2	20.4	422.9	50.7
	Full	142.1	17.0	60.0	7.2	255.0	30.6
300	Slow	59.0	7.1	56.7	6.8	337.5	40.4
	Cruise	47.3	5.7	51.1	6.1	389.3	46.7
	Fuli	58.5	7.0	21.0	2.5	275.1	33.0
500	Idle	282.5	33.8	118.1	14.1	99.4	11.9
	Cruise	99.7	11,9	44.5	5.3	338.6	40.6
	Full	84.2	10.1	22.8	2.7	269.2	32.3
600	Idle	171.7	20.6	68.0	8.2	307.1	36.8
	Slow	50.8	6.1	16.6	2.0	251.5	30.1
	Cruise	77.6	9.3	24.1	_2.9	349.2	41.8
700	ldle	293.2	35.1	95.8	11.5	246.0	29.5
	Cruise	36.0	4.3	8.8	1.1	452.8	54.2
900	Idle	223.7	26.8	249.1	29.8	107.5	12.9
	2/3	62.2	7.5	16.8	2.0	167.2	20.0
·	Cruise	80.9	9.7	17.1	2.1	360.0	43.1
	:					:	
1580	Slow	122.4	14.7	 		371.3	44.5
	Cruise	44.6	5 .3	_	_	623.1	74.6
	Full	237.7	28.5	16.8	2.0	472.0	5.7
2500	Slow	59.8	7.2	22.6	2.7	419.6	50.3
	2/3	126.5	15.2	14.7	1.8	326.2	39.1
	Cruise	78.3	9.4	16.8	2.0	391.7	46.9
	Full	95.9	11.5	21.3	2.6	399.6	47.9
3600	Slow	148.5	17.8	60.0	7.2	367.0	44.0
	2/3	28.1	3.4	25.4	3.0	358.6	43.0
	Cruise	41.4	5.0	32.8	4.0	339.6	40.7
	; Full	62.4	7.5	29.5	3.5	307.0	36.8

^aReference 2. ^bParticulate and sulfur oxides data are not available.

Table II-3-4. AVERAGE EMISSION FACTORS FOR DIESEL-POWERED ELECTRICAL GENERATORS IN VESSELS^a EMISSION FACTOR RATING: C

					Emis	sions			
Rated	Load, ^c		r oxides is SO ₂)d		bon oxide		dro- bons	_	n oxides as NO ₂)
output,b kW	% rated output	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter
20	0	27	3.2	150	18.0	263	31.5	434	52.0
	25	27	3.2	79.7	9.55	204	24.4	444	53.2
	50	27	3.2	53.4	6.40	144	17.3	477	57.2
	75	27	3.2	28.5	3.42	84.7	10.2	495	59.3
40	0	27	3.2	153	18.3	584	70.0	214	25.6
	25	27	3.2	89.0	10.7	370	44.3	219	26.2
	50	27	3.2	67.6	8.10	285	34.2	226	27.1
	75	27	3.2	64.1	7.68	231	27.7	233	27.9
200	0	27	3.2	134	16.1	135	16.2	142	17.0
	25	27	3.2	97.9	11.7	33.5	4.01	141	16.9
	50	27	3.2	62.3	7.47	17.8	2.13	140	16.8
	75	27	3.2	26.7	3.20	17.5	2.10	137	16.4
500	0	27	3.2	58.4	7.00	209	25.0	153	18.3
į	25	27	3.2	53.4	6.40	109	13.0	222	26.6
	50	27	3.2	48.1	5.76	81.9	9.8	293	35.1
	75	27	3.2	43.7	5.24	59.1	7.08	364	43.6

^aReference 2.

At zero load conditions, mass emission rates (e₁) may be approximated in terms of kg/hr (lb/hr) using the following relationship:

$$e_1 = kl_{rated}e_f$$
(2)

where: k = a constant that relates rated output and fuel consumption.

that is.
$$6.93 \times 10^{-5}$$
 1000 liters fuel/kW

or

 1_{rated} = the rated output, kW

ef = the fuel-specific emission factor from Table II+3-4, kg·10³ liter (lb/10³ gal)

Pleasure craft. Many of the engine designs used in inboard pleasure craft are also used either in military vessels (diesel) or in highway vehicles (gasoline). Out of a total of 700,000 inboard pleasure craft registered in the United States in 1972, nearly 300,000 were inboard/outdrive. According to sales data, 60 to 70 percent of these

^bMaximum rated output of the diesel-powered generator.

^cGenerator electrical output (for example, a 20 kW generator at 50 percent load equals 10 kW output).

dCalculated, not measured, based on 0.20 percent fuel sulfur content and density of 0.854 kg/liter (7.12 lb/gal) from Reference 17.

inboard/outdrive craft used gasoline-powered automotive engines rated at more than 130 horsepower.⁶ The remaining 400,000 pleasure craft used conventional inboard drives that were powered by a variety of powerplants, both gasoline and diesel. Because emission data are not available for pleasure craft. Coast Guard and automotive data^{2,19} are used to characterize emission factors for this class of vessels in Table I I=3.5.

Military vessels. Military vessels are powered by a wide variety of both diesel and steam power plants. Many of the emission data used in this section are the result of emission testing programs conducted by the U.S. Navy and the U.S. Coast Guard. 1-3.5 A separate table containing data on military vessels is not provided here, but the included tables should be sufficient to calculate approximate military vessel emissions.

TABLEII-3.-5. AVERAGE EMISSION FACTORS FOR INBOARD PLEASURE CRAFT^a

EMISSION FACTOR RATING: D

	Ва	sed on fuel c	onsumption	1					
	Diesel er	igine ^b	Gasoline engine ^C		Based on operating time				
	kg/10 ³	lb/10 ³	kg/10 ³	Ib/10 ³	Diesel er	Diesel engine ^b Gasoline en		engine ^C	
Pollutant	liter	gal	liter	gal	kg/hr	lb/hr	kg/hr	lb/hr	
Sulfur oxides ^d (SO _x as SO ₂)	3.2	27	0.77	6.4	-	-	0.008	0.019	
Carbon monoxide	17	140	149	1240	-	-	1.69	3.73	
Hydrocarbons	22	180	10.3	86	_	_	0.117	0.258	
Nitrogen oxides (NO _x as NO ₂)	41	340	15.7	131	_	-	0.179	0.394	

⁸Average emission factors are based on the duty cycle developed for 'arge outboards (≥ 48 kilowatts or ≥ 65 horsepower) from Reference 7. The above factors take into account the impact of water scrubbing of underwater gasoline engine exhaust, also from Reference 7. All values given are for single engine craft and must be modified for multiple engine vessels.

References for Section II-3

1. Walter, R. A., A. J. Broderick, J. C. Sturm, and E. C. Klaubert, USCG Pollution Abatement Program: A Preliminary Study of Vessel and Boat Exhaust Emissions, U.S. Department of Transportation, Transportation Systems Center, Cambridge, Mass. Prepared for the United States Coast Guard, Washington, D.C. Report No. DOT-TSC-USCG-72-3, November 1971, 119 p.

Based on tests of diesel engines in Coast Guard vessels, Reference 2.

^{**}Based on tests of automotive engines, Reference 19. Fuel consumption of 11.4 liter/hr (3 ga:/hr) assumed. The resulting factors are only rough estimates.

dBased on fuel sulfur content of 0.20 percent for diesel fuel and 0.043 percent for gasoline from References 7 and 17. Calculated using fuel density of 0.740 kg/liter (6.17 lb/gal) for gasoline and 0.854 kg/liter (7.12 lb/gal) for diesel fuel.

- 2. Souza, A. F. A Study of Emissions from Coast Guard Cutters. Final Report. Scott Research Laboratories, Inc. Plumsteadville, Pa. Prepared for the Department of Transportation. Transportation Systems Center. Cambridge, Mass., under Contract No. DOT-TSC-429. February 1973.
- 3. Wallace, B. L. Evaluation of Developed Methodology for Shipboard Steam Generator Systems. Department of the Navy. Naval Ship Research and Development Center. Materials Department. Annapolis, Md. Report No. 28-463. March 1973. 18 p.
- 4. Waldron, A. L. Sampling of Emission Products from Ships' Boiler Stacks. Department of the Navy. Naval Ship Research and Development Center. Annapolis, Md. Report No. 28-169. April 1972. 7 p.
- 5. Foernsler, R. O. Naval Ship Systems Air Contamination Control and Environmental Data Base Programs; Progress Report. Department of the Navy. Naval Ship Research and Development Center. Annapolis, Md. Report No. 28-443. February 1973. 9 p.
- 6. The Boating Business 1972. The Boating Industry Magazine. Chicago, Ill. 1973.
- Hare, C. T. and K. J. Springer. Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines. Final Report Part 2. Outboard Motors. Southwest Research Institute. San Antonio, Tex. Prepared for the Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C., under Contract No. EHS 70-108. January 1973. 57 p.
- 8. Hurst, J. W. 1974 Chrysler Gasoline Marine Engines. Chrysler Corporation. Detroit, Mich.
- 9. Mercruiser Sterndrives/ Inboards 73. Mercury Marine, Division of the Brunswick Corporation. Fond du Lac, Wisc. 1972.
- 10. Boating 1972. Marex. Chicago, Illinois, and the National Association of Engine and Boat Manufacturers. Greenwich, Conn. 1972. 8 p.
- 11. Transportation Lines on the Great Lakes System 1970. Transportation Series 3. Corps of Engineers, United States Army, Waterborne Commerce Statistics Center, New Orleans, La. 1970, 26 p.
- Transportation Lines on the Mississippi and the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway 1970. Transportation Series 4.
 Corps of Engineers, United States Army, Waterborne Commerce Statistics Center. New Orleans, La. 1970. 232 p.
- 13. Transportation Lines on the Atlantic, Gulf and Pacific Coasts 1970. Transportation Series 5. Corps of Engineers. United States Army. Waterborne Commerce Statistics Center. New Orleans, La. 1970. 201 p.
- 14. Schueneman, J. J. Some Aspects of Marine Air Pollution Problems on the Great Lakes, J. Air Pol. Control Assoc. 14:23-29, September 1964.
- 15. 1971 Inland Waterborne Commerce Statistics. The American Waterways Operations, Inc. Washington, D.C. October 1972, 38 p.
- 16. Horsepower on the Inland Waterways, List No. 23. The Waterways Journal, St. Louis, Mo. 1972, 2 p.
- 17. Hare, C. T. and K. J. Springer. Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines. Part 1. Locomotive Diesel Engines and Marine Counterparts. Southwest Research Institute. San Antonio, Tex. Prepared for the Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, N.C., under Contract No. EHS 70-108. October 1972, 39 p.
- 18. Pearson, J. R. Ships as Sources of Emissions, Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency, Seattle, Wash. (Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Pacific Northwest International Section of the Air Pollution Control Association, Portland, Ore, November 1969.)
- 19. Study of Emissions from Light-Duty Vehicles in Six Cities. Automotive Environmental Systems, Inc. San Bernardino, Calif. Prepared for the Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C., under Contract No. 68-04-0042. June 1971.

II-4 Outboard-Powered Vessels

II-4.1 General — Most of the approximately 7 million outboard motors in use in the United States are 2-stroke engines with an average available horsepower of about 25. Because of the predominately leisure-time use of outboard motors, emissions related to their operation occur primarily during nonworking hours, in rural areas, and during the three summer months. Nearly 40 percent of the outboards are operated in the states of New York, Texas, Florida, Michigan, California, and Minnesota. This distribution results in the concentration of a large portion of total nationwide outboard emissions in these states.¹

II-4.2 Emissions — Because the vast majority of outboards have underwater exhaust, emission measurement is very difficult. The values presented in Table II-4-1 are the approximate atmospheric emissions from outboards. These data are based on tests of four outboard motors ranging from 4 to 65 horsepower. The emission results from these motors are a composite based on the nationwide breakdown of outboards by horsepower. Emission factors are presented two ways in this section: in terms of fuel use and in terms of work output (horsepower hour). The selection of the factor used depends on the source inventory data available. Work output factors are used when the number of outboards in use is available. Fuel-specific emission factors are used when fuel consumption data are obtainable.

Table II - 4-1. AVERAGE EMISSION FACTORS FOR OUTBOARD MOTORS^a EMISSION FACTOR RATING: B

	Based on fue	el consumption	Based on work output ^c			
Pollutant ^b	lb/10 ³ gal	kg/10 ³ liter	g/ḥphr	g/metric hphr		
Sulfur oxides ^d (SO _x as SO ₂)	6.4	0.77	0.49	0.49		
Carbon monoxide	3300	400	250	250		
Hydrocarbons e	1100	130	85	i 85		
Nitrogen oxides (NO _x as NO ₂)	6.6	0.79	0.50	. 0.50		

^a Reference 1. Data in this table are emissions to the atmosphere. A portion of the exhaust remains behind in the water.

b Particulate emission factors are not available because of the problems involved with measurement from an underwater exhaust system but are considered negligible.

Chorsepower hours are calculated by multiplying the average power produced during the hours of usage by the population of outboards in a given area. In the absence of data specific to a given geographic area, the higher value can be estimated using average nationwide values from Reference 1. Reference 1 reports the average power produced (not the available power) as 9.1 hp and the average annual usage per engine as 50 hours. Thus, higher = (number of outboards) (9.1 hp) (50 hours/outboard-year). Metric higher = 0.9863 higher.

d Based on fuel sulfur content of 0.043 percent from Reference 2 and on a density of 6.17 lb/gal.

lincludes exhaust hydrocarbons only. No crankcase emissions occur because the majority of outboards are 2-stroke engines that use crankcase induction. Evaporative emissions are limited by the widespread use of unvented tanks.

- 1. Hare, C.T. and K.J. Springer. Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines. Part II, Outboard Motors. Final Report. Southwest Research Institute. San Antonio, Texas. Prepared for the Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C., under Contract Number EHS 70-108. January 1973.
- 2. Hare, C.T. and K.J. Springer. Study of Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines. Emission Factors and Impact Estimates for Light-Duty Air-Cooled Utility Engines and Motorcycles. Southwest Research Institute. San Antonio, Texas. Prepared for the Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C., under Contract Number EHS 70-108. January 1972.

II-5 Small, General Utility Engines

II-5.1 General—This category of engines comprises small 2-stroke and 4-stroke, air-cooled, gasoline-powered motors. Examples of the uses of these engines are: lawnmowers, small electric generators, compressors, pumps, minibikes, snowthrowers, and garden tractors. This category does *not* include motorcycles, outboard motors, chain saws, and snowmobiles, which are either included in other parts of this chapter or are not included because of the lack of emission data.

Approximately 89 percent of the more than 44 million engines of this category in service in the United States are used in lawn and garden applications.¹

II-5.2 Emissions—Emissions from these engines are reported in Table II-5.1. For the purpose of emission estimation, engines in this category have been divided into lawn and garden (2-stroke), lawn and garden (4-stroke), and miscellaneous (4-stroke). Emission factors are presented in terms of horsepower hours, annual usage, and fuel consumption.

- 1. Donohue, J. A., G. C. Hardwick, H. K. Newhall, K. S. Sanvordenker, and N. C. Woelffer. Small Engine Exhaust Emissions and Air Quality in the United States. (Presented at the Automotive Engineering Congress, Society of Automotive Engineers, Detroit. January 1972.)
- 2. Hare, C. T. and K. J. Springer. Study of Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines. Part IV, Small Air-Cooled Spark Ignition Utility Engines. Final Report. Southwest Research Institute. San Antonio, Tex. Prepared for the Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C., under Contract No. EHS 70-108. May 1973.

Table I I -5-1. EMISSION FACTORS FOR SMALL, GENERAL UTILITY ENGINES^{a,b} EMISSION FACTOR RATING: B

Engine	Sulfur oxides ^c (SO _x as SO ₂)	Particulate	Carbon monoxide	Hyd: Exhaust	ocarbons Evaporative ^d	Nitrogen oxides (NO _x as NO ₂)	Alde- hydes (HCHO)
2-Stroke, lawn							
and garden	ļ			į			
g/hphr	0.54	7.1	486	214	, – i	1.58	2.04
g/metric	0.54	7.1	486	214	-	1.58	2.04
hphr							
g/gal of	1.80	23.6	1,618	713	j –	5.26	6.79
fuel				44.700	1 440	100	
g/unit-	38	470	33,400	14,700	113	108	140
year							
4-Stroke, lawn					!		
and garden	:	1	}	1	ļ		
g/hphr	0.37	0.44	279	23.2		3.17	0.49
g/metric	0.37	[!] 0.44	279	23.2	; <u> </u>	3.17	0.49
hphr		į			i		
g/gal of	2.37	2.82	1,790	149	-	20.3	3.14
fuel				!	i		
g/unit-	26	[!] 31	19,100	1,590	113	217	34
year	į	I					
4-Stroke					1		
miscellaneous	•		İ	! :	ļ		
g/hphr	0.39	0.44	250	15.2	_	4.97	0.47
g/metric	'	0.44	250	15.2	-	4.97	0.47
hphr			:	!			
g/gal of	2.45	2.77	1,571	95.5	_	31.2	2.95
fuel	<u> </u>	İ	!	!	1		
g/unit-	30	34	19,300	1,170	290	384	36
year		1	1	1			

^aReference 2.

bValues for g/unit-year were calculated assuming an annual usage of 50 hours and a 40 percent load factor. Factors for g/hphr can be used in instances where annual usages, load factors, and rated horsepower are known. Horsepower hours are the product of the usage in hours, the load factor, and the rated horsepower.

^CValues calculated, not measured, based on the use of 0.043 percent sulfur content fuel.

 d_{Values} calculated from annual fuel consumption. Evaporative losses from storage and filling operations are not included (see Chapter 4).

II-6 Agricultural Equipment

II-6.1 General — Farm equipment can be separated into two major categories: wheeled tractors and other farm machinery. In 1972, the wheeled tractor population on farms consisted of 4.5 million units with an average power of approximately 34 kilowatts (45 horsepower). Approximately 30 percent of the total population of these tractors is powered by diesel engines. The average diesel tractor is more powerful than the average gasoline tractor, that is, 52 kW (70 hp) versus 27 kW (36 np). A considerable amount of population and usage data is available for farm tractors. For example, the Census of Agriculture reports the number of tractors in use for each county in the U.S. Few data are available on the usage and numbers of non-tractor farm equipment, however. Self-propelled combines, forage harvesters, irrigation pumps, and auxiliary engines on pull-type combines and balers are examples of non-tractor agricultural uses of internal combustion engines. Table II-6-1 presents data on this equipment for the U.S.

II-6.2 Emissions — Emission factors for wheeled tractors and other farm machinery are presented in Table II-6.2. Estimating emissions from the time-based emission factors—grams per hour (g/hr) and pounds per hour (lb/hr)—requires an average usage value in hours. An approximate figure of 550 hours per year may be used or, on the basis of power, the relationship, usage in hours = 450 + 5.24 (kW - 37.2) or usage in hours = 450 + 3.89 (hp - 50) may be employed.

The best emissions estimates result from the use of "brake specific" emission factors (g/kWh or g/hphr). Emissions are the product of the brake specific emission factor, the usage in hours, the power available, and the load factor (power used divided by power available). Emissions are also reported in terms of fuel consumed.

TableI I - 6-1. SERVICE CHARACTERISTICS OF FARM EQUIPMENT (OTHER THAN TRACTORS)^a

Machine	Units in	Typical	al Typical p		Percent	Percent
	service, x10 ³	size	kW	hp	gasoline	diesel
Combine, self- propelled	434	4.3 m (14 ft)	82	110	50	50
Combine, pull type	289	2.4 m (8 ft)	19	25	100	0
Corn pickers and picker- shellers	687	2-row	_b	_	-	-
Pick-up balers	655	5400 kg/hr (6 ton/hr)	30	40	100	0
Forage harvesters	295	3.7 m (12 ft) or 3-row	104	140	0	100
Miscellaneous	1205	-	22	30	50	50

^aReference 1.

bUnpowered.

Table II-6-2. EMISSION FACTORS FOR WHEELED FARM TRACTORS AND NON-TRACTOR AGRICULTURAL EQUIPMENT^a
EMISSION FACTOR RATING: C

Pollutant	Diesel farm tractor	Gasoline farm tractor	Diesel farm equipment (non-tractor)	Gasoline farm equipment (non-tractor)
Carbon monoxide g/hr lb/hr g/kWh g/hphr kg/10 ³ liter lb/10 ³ gal	161 0.355 4.48 3.34 14.3	3,380 7.46 192 143 391 3,260	95.2 0.210 5.47 4.08 16.7	4,360 9.62 292 218 492 4,100
Exhaust hydrocarbons g/hr lb/hr g/kWh g/hphr kg/10 ³ liter lb/10 ³ gal	77.8 0.172 2.28 1.70 7.28 60.7	128 0.282 7.36 5.49 15.0	38.6 0.085 2.25 1.68 6.85 57.1	143 0.315 9.63 7.18 16.2 135
Crankcase hydrocarbons ^b g/hr lb/hr g/kWh g/hphr kg/10 ³ liter lb/10 ³ gal	- - - - - -	26.0 0.057 1.47 1.10 3.01 25.1	- - - - - -	28.6 0.063 1.93 1.44 3.25 27.1
Evaporative hydrocarbons ^b g/unit-year lb/unit-year		15,600 34.4		1,600 3.53
Nitrogen oxides (NO _X as NO ₂) g/hr lb/hr g/kWh g/hphr kg/10 ³ liter lb/10 ³ gal	452 0.996 12.6 9.39 40.2 335	157 0.346 8.88 6.62 18.1	210 0.463 12.11 9.03 36.8 307	105 0.231 7.03 5.24 11.8 98.5
Aldehydes (RCHO as HCHO) g/hr lb/hr g/kWh g/hphr kg/10 ³ liter lb/10 ³ gai	16.3 0.036 0.456 0.340 1.45 12.1	7.07 0.016 0.402 0.300 0.821 6.84	7.23 0.016 0.402 0.30 1.22 10.2	4.76 0.010 0.295 0.220 0.497 4.14
Sulfur oxides ^c (SO _x as SO ₂) g/hr lb/hr	42.2 0.093	5.56 0.012	21.7 0.048	6.34 0.014

II-6-2 EMISSION FACTORS 1/75

Table II-6-2. (continued). EMISSION FACTORS FOR WHEELED FARM TRACTORS AND NON-TRACTOR AGRICULTURAL EQUIPMENT^a

EMISSION FACTOR RATING: C

Pollutant	Diesel farm tractor	Gasoline farm tractor	Diesel farm equipment (non-tractor)	Gasoline farm equipment (non-tractor)
g/kWh	1.17	0.312	1.23	0.377
g/hphr	0.874	0.233	0.916	0.281
kg/10 ³ liter	3.74	0.637	3.73	0.634
lb/10 ³ gal	31.2	5.31	31.1	5.28
Particulate				
g/h r	61.8	8.33	34.9	7.94
lb/hr	0.136	0.018	0.077	0.017
g/kWh	1.72	0.471	2.02	0.489
g/hphr	1.28	0.361	1.51	0.365
kg/10 ³ liter	5.48	0.960	6.16	0.823
lb/10 ³ gal	45.7	8.00	51.3	6.86

^aReference 1.

- 1. Hare, C. T. and K. J. Springer. Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines. Final Report. Part 5: Heavy-Duty Farm, Construction and Industrial Engines. Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Tex. Prepared for Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C., under Contract No. EHS 70-108, August 1973, 97 p.
- 2. County Farm Reports, U.S. Census of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.

^bCrankcase and evaporative emissions from diesel engines are considered negligible.

^CNot measured. Calculated from fuel sulfur content of 0.043 percent and 0.22 percent for gasoline-powered and diesel-powered equipment, respectively.

II-7 Heavy-Duty Construction Equipment

II-7.1 General - The useful life of construction equipment is fairly short because of the frequent and severe usage it must endure. annual usage of the various categories of equipment considered here ranges from 740 hours (wheeled tractors and rollers) to 2000 hours (scrapers and off-highway trucks). This high level of use results in average vehicle lifetimes of only 6 to 16 years. The equipment categories in this section include: track type tractors, track type loaders, motor graders, wheel tractor scrapers, off-highway trucks (includes pavement cold planers and wheel dozers), wheeled loaders, wheeled tractors, rollers (static and vibratory), and miscellaneous machines. The latter category contains an array of less numerous mobile and semi-mobile machines used in construction such as log skidders, hydraulic excavators/crawlers, trenchers, concrete pavers, compact loaders, crane lattice booms, cranes, hydraulic excavator wheels, and bituminous pavers. Some of these categories are different from the Third Edition.

II-7.2 Emissions - Recently, Environmental Research and Technology, Inc. prepared a report under the sponsorship of a consortium of industry groups. This report, referred to as the CAL/ERT report, provided a very comprehensive investigation of farm construction and industrial equipment The emissions of twenty different types of construction equipments are grouped roughly according to the categories in the Third Edition by their populations in California (based on a report prepared by the California Air Resources Board). The updated emission factors on $HC/CO/NO_x$ for heavy-duty construction equipment for diesel engines are reported in Table II-7.1. No update has been done on other emissions (aldehydes, sulfur oxides, and particulates), and their values are carried over from the Third Edition. Less than five percent of the sales use gasoline engines, and the trend is toward complete dieselization. No update has been done on the gasoline engine construction equipment emissions. Therefore, the emission factors for gasoline engines from the Third Edition are reprinted in Table II-7.2. The factors are reported in three different forms-on the basis of running time, fuel consumed, and power consumed.

In order to estimate emissions from time-based emission factors, annual equipment usage in hours must be estimated. The following estimates of use for the equipment listed in the tables should permit reasonable emission calculations.

Category	Annual operation, hours/year
Tracklaying tractors	1050
Tracklaying shovel loaders	1100
Motor graders	830
Scrapers	2000
Off-highway trucks	4000
(including wheeled dozers)	2000
Wheeled loaders	1140
Wheeled tractors	740
Rollers	740
Miscellaneous	1000

The best method for calculating emissions, however, is on the basis of "brake specific" emission factors (g/kWh or g/hphr). Emissions are calculated by taking the product of the brake specific emission factor, the usage in hours, the power available (that is, rated power), and the load factor (the power actually used divided by the power available).

- Hare, C.T. and K.J. Springer. Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines-Final Report. Part 5: Heavy-Duty Farm, Construction, and Industrial Engines. Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Tex. Prepared for Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C., under Contract No. EHS 70-108. October 1973. 105p.
- Hare, C.T. Letter to C.C. Masser of Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C., concerning fuel-based emission rates for farm, construction, and industrial engines. San Antonio, Tex. January 14, 1974. 4p.
- 3. Ingalls, Melvin N. Recommended Revisions to Gaseous Emission Factors from Several Classes of Off-Highway Mobile Sources--Final Report. Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas. Prepared for Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Mobile Source Air Pollution Control, Ann Arbor, MI., under Contract NO. 68-03-3162 September 1984.
- 4. State of California Air Resources Board. Status Report: Emissions Inventory on Non-Farm (MS-1), Farm (MS-2), and Lawn and Garden (Utility) (MS-3) Equipment. July 1983. 87p.

Table II-7.1 Emission Factors for Heavy-Duty, Diesel-Powered
Construction Equipment^a
Emission Factor Rating: C

	Track-type	Wheeled	Wheeled		Motor
Pollutant	tractor	tractor	dozer	Scraper	grader
CARBON MONOXIDE					
g/hr	157.01	1622.77		568.19	68.46
lb/hr	0.346	3.59		1.257	0.151
g/kWh	2.88	9.84		3.28	2.06
g/hphr	2.15	7.34		2.45	1.54
kg/10 ³ liter	9.4	32.19		10.16	6.55
1b/10 ³ gal EXHAUST HYDROCARBONS	78.5	268.5		84.6	54.65
g/hr	55.06	85.26		128.15	18.07
lb/hr	0.121	0.188		0.282	0.040
g/kWh	1.01	2.36		0.74	0.48
g/hphr	0.75	1.76		0.55	0.36
kg/10 ³ liter	3.31	7.74		2.28	1.53
1b/10 ³ gal	27.6	64.6		19.0	12.73
NITROGEN OXIDES					
$(NO_x as NO_2)$					
g/hr	570.70	575.84		1740.74	324.43
lb/hr	1.26	1.269		3.840	0.713
g/kWh	10.47	15.96		10.00	9.57
g/hphr	7.81	11.91		7.46	7.14
kg/10 ³ liter	34.16	52.35		30.99	30.41
<u>lb/10³ gal</u>	284.92	436.67		258.6	253.84
ALDEHYDES					
(RCHO as HCHO)					
g/hr	12.4	13.5	29.5	65.	5.54
lb/hr	0.027	0.030	0.065	0.143	0.012
g/kWh	0.228	0.378	0.215	0.375	0.162
g/hphr	0.170	0.282	0.160	0.280	0.121
kg/10 ³ liter	0.745	1.23	0.690	1.16	0.517
1b/10 ³ gal	6.22	10.3	5.76	9.69	4.31
SULFUR OXIDES					
$(SO_x as SO_2)$	62.3	40.0	150	210	20.0
g/hr lb/hr	62.3 0.137	40.9 0.090	158. 0.348	210. 0.463	39.0
q/kWh	1.14	1.14	1.16	1.21	0.086 1.17
g/hphr	0.851	0.851	0.867	0.901	0.874
kg/10 ³ liter	3.73	3.73	3.74	3.74	3.73
1b/10 gal	31.1	31.1	31.2	31.2	31.1
PARTICULATE	31.1	31.1	<u> </u>	31.6	31.1
g/hr	50.7	61.5	75.	184.	27.7
lb/hr	0.112	0.136	0.165	0.406	0.061
g/kWh	0.928	1.70	0.551	1.06	0.838
g/hphr	0.692	1.27	0.411	0.789	0.625
kg/10 ³ liter	3.03	5.57	1.77	3.27	2.66
1b/10 ³ gal	25.3	46.5	14.8	27.3	22.2
					

References 3 and 4 for the $HC/CO/NO_x$ emissions, and references 1 and 2 for other emissions.

The wheeled dozer $HC/CO/NG_{\times}$ emissions are included in the off-highway truck category.

Table II-7.1 (cont'd) Emission Factors for Heavy-Duty
Diesel-Powered
Construction Equipment^a

Emission Factor Rating: C
Off-

	Wheeled	Tracktype	Highway		Miscel-
<u>Pollutant</u>	loader	loader	truck b	Roller	laneous
CARBON MONOXIDE					
g/hr	259.58	91.15	816.81	137.97	306.37
lb/hr	0.572	0.201	1.794	0.304	0.675
g/kWh	3.63	3.03	4.70	8.08	6.16
g/hphr	2.71	2.26	2.28	6.03	4.60
kg/10 ³ liter	11.79	9.93	14.73	22.64	18.41
$1b/10^3$ gal	98.66	82.85	123.46	188.37	153.51
EXHAUST HYDROCARBONS					
g/hr	113.17	44.55	86.84	30.58	69.35
lb/hr	0.25	0.098	0.192	0.067	0.152
g/kWh	1.59	1.49	0.50	1.30	1.35
g/hphr	0.97	1.11	0.37	0.97	1.01
kg/10 ³ liter	5.17	4.85	1.58	3.60	4.04
<u>lb/10³ gal</u>	43.16	40.55	13.16	30.09	33.70
NITROGEN OXIDES					
(NO _x as NO ₂)					242.00
g/hr	858.19	375.22	1889.16	392.90	767.30
lb/hr	1.89	0.827	4.166	0.862	1.691
g/kWh	11.81	12.46	10.92	17.49	14.75
g/hphr	8.81	9.30	8.15	13.05	11.01
kg/10 ³ liter	38.5	40.78	34.29	48.49	44.10
1b/10 ³ gal	321.23	339.82	286.10	404.51	368.01
ALDEHYDES					
(RCHO as HCHO)	10.0	4 00	F1 0	7 43	12.0
g/hr	18.8	4.00	51.0	7.43	13.9
lb/hr	0.041	0.009	0.112	0.016	0.031
g/kWh	0.264	0.134	0.295	0.263	0.272
g/hphr	0.197	0.100	0.220	0.196	0.203
$kg/10^3$ liter	0.859	0.439	0.928	0.731	0.813
1b/10 ³ gal SULFUR OXIDES	7.17	3.66	7.74	6.10	6.78
$(SO_x as SO_2)$ g/hr	82.5	34.4	206.	30.5	64.7
lb/hr	0.182	0.076	0.454	0.067	0.143
g/kWh	1.15	1.14	1.19	1.34	1.25
	0.857	0.853	0.887	1.00	0.932
g/hphr kg/10 ³ liter	3.74	3.74	3.74	3.73	3.73
lb/10 ³ gal	31.2	31.2	31.2	31.1	31.1
PARTICULATE	31.2			31.1	
q/hr	77.9	26.4	116.	22.7	63.2
lb/hr	0.172	0.058	0.256	0.050	0.139
g/kWh	1.08	0.878	0.230	1.04	1.21
g/hphr	0.805	0.655	0.502	0.778	0.902
kg/10 ³ liter	3.51	2.88	2.12	2.90	3.61
1b/10 ³ gal	29.3	24.0	17.7	24.2	30.1
- 10/ 10 - Ya1	43.3	27.0			

References 3 and 4 for the $HC/CO/NO_x$ emissions and references 1 and 2 for other emissions.

The off-highway truck category incudes ${\rm HC/CO/NO_x}$ emissions from the wheeled dozer.

Table II-7.2 Emission Factors for Heavy-Duty, Gasoline-Powered

Construction Equipment^a

Emission Factor Rating: C

	Wheeled	Motor	Wheeled		Miscel-
Pollutant	tractor	grader	loader	Roller	laneous
CARBON MONOXIDE					
g/hr	4320.	5490.	7060.	6080.	7720.
lb/hr	9.52	12.1	15.6	13.4	17.0
g/kWh	190.	251.	219.	271.	266.
g/hphr	142.	187.	163.	202	198.
kg/10 ³ liter	389.	469.	435.	460.	475.
1b/10 ³ gal	3250.	3910.	3630.	3840.	3960.
EXHAUST HYDROCARBONS					<u> </u>
g/hr	164.	186.	241.	277.	254.
lb/hr	0.362	0.410	0.531	0.611	0.560
g/kWh	7.16	8.48	7.46	12.40	8.70
g/hphr	5.34	6.32	5.56	9.25	6.49
kg/10 ³ liter	14.6	15.8	14.9	21.1	15.6
1b/10 ³ gal	122.	132.	124.	176.	130.
EVAPORATIVE	 				
HYDROCARBONS b					
g/hr	30.9	30.0	29.7	28.2	25.4
lb/hr	0.0681	0.0661	0.0655	0.0622	0.0560
CRANKCASE					
HYDROCARBONS b					
g/hr	32.6	37.1	48.2	55.5	50.7
lb/hr	0.0719	0.0818	0.106	0.122	0.112
NITROGEN OXIDES					
$(NO_x as NO_2)$					
g/hr	195.	145.	235.	164.	187.
lb/hr	0.430	0.320	0.518	0.362	0.412
g/kWh	8.54	6.57	7.27	7.08	6.48
g/hphr	6.37	4.90	5.42	5.28	4.79
kg/10 ³ liter	17.5	12.2	14.5	12.0	11.5
1b/10 ³ gal	146.	102.	121.	100.	95.8
ALDEHYDES	=			1001	
(RCHO as HCHO)					
q/hr	7.97	8.80	9.65	7.57	9.00
lb/hr	0.0176	0.0194		0.0167	0.0198
0.0198	0.01/0	0.013	0.0213	0.010,	0.0190
g/kWh	0.341	0.386	0.298	0.343	0.298
g/hphr	0.254	0.288	0.222	0.256	0.222
kg/10 ³ liter	0.697	0.721	0.593	0.582	0.532
lb/10 ³ gal	5.82	6.02	4.95	4.86	4.44
SULFUR OXIDES		0.02	4.93		-1.11
$(SO_x as SO_2)$					
g/hr	7.03	7.59	10.6	8.38	10.6
lb/hr	0.0155	0.0167		0.0185	0.0234
g/kWh	0.304	0.341		0.0185	
g/hphr	0.304		0.319		0.354
		0.254	0.238	0.278	0.264
	0.623	0.636	0.636	0.633	0.633
$1b/10^3$ gal	5.20	5.31	5.31	5.28	5.28

Table II-7.2 (cont'd) Emission Factors for Heavy-Duty,
Gasoline-Powered

Construction Equipment a
Emission Factor Rating: C

	Wheeled	Motor	Wheeled		Miscel-
Pollutant	tractor	grader	<u>loader</u>	Roller	laneous
PARTICULATE					
g/hr	10.9	9.40	13.5	11.8	11.7
lb/hr	0.0240	0.0207	0.0298	0.0260	0.0258
g/kWh	0.484	0.440	0.421	0.527	0.406
g/hphr	0.361	0.328	0.314	0.393	0.303
$kg/10^3$ liter	0.991	0.822	0.839	0.895	0.726
1b/10 ³ gal	8.27	6.86	7.00_	7.47	6.06

References 1 and 2.

Evaporative and crankcase hydrocarbons based on operating time only (Reference 1).

II-8 Snowmobiles

II-8.1 General — In order to develop emission factors for snowmobiles, mass emission rates must be known, and operating cycles representative of usage in the field must be either known or assumed. Extending the applicability of data from tests of a few vehicles to the total snowmobile population requires additional information on the composition of the vehicle population by engine size and type. In addition, data on annual usage and total machine population are necessary when the effect of this source on national emission levels is estimated.

An accurate determination of the number of snowmobiles in use is quite easily obtained because most states require registration of the vehicles. The most notable features of these registration data are that almost 1.5 million sleds are operated in the United States, that more than 70 percent of the snowmobiles are registered in just four states (Michigan, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and New York), and that only about 12 percent of all snowmobiles are found in areas outside the northeast and northern midwest.

II-8.2 Emissions — Operating data on snowmobiles are somewhat limited, but enough are available so that an attempt can be made to construct a representative operating cycle. The required end products of this effort are time-based weighting factors for the speed/load conditions at which the test engines were operated; use of these factors will permit computation of "cycle composite" mass emissions, power consumption, fuel consumption, and specific pollutant emissions.

Emission factors for snowmobiles were obtained through an EPA-contracted study¹ in which a variety of snowmobile engines were tested to obtain exhaust emissions data. These emissions data along with annual usage data were used by the contractor to estimate emission factors and the nationwide emission impact of this pollutant source.

To arrive at average emission factors for snowmobiles, a reasonable estimate of average engine size was necessary. Weighting the size of the engine to the degree to which each engine is assumed to be representative of the total population of engines in service resulted in an estimated average displacement of 362 cubic centimeters (cm³).

The speed/load conditions at which the test engines were operated represented, as closely as possible, the normal operation of snowmobiles in the field. Calculations using the fuel consumption data obtained during the tests and the previously approximated average displacement of 362 cm³ resulted in an estimated average fuel consumption of 0.94 gal/hr.

To compute snowmobile emission factors on a gram per unit year basis, it is necessary to know not only the emission factors but also the annual operating time. Estimates of this usage are discussed in Reference 1. On a national basis, however, average snowmobile usage can be assumed to be 60 hours per year. Emission factors for snowmobiles are presented in Table II-8-1.

References for Section 11-8

1. Hare, C. T. and K. J. Springer. Study of Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines. Final Report. Part 7: Snowmobiles. Southwest Research Institute. San Antonio, Tex. Prepared for Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park. N.C., under Contract No. EHS 70-108. April 1974.

Table II-8-1. EMISSION FACTORS FOR SNOWMOBILES EMISSION FACTOR RATING: B

	Emissions					
Pollutant	g/unit-year ^a	g/gal ^b	g/liter ^b	g/hr ^b		
Carbon monoxide	58,700	1,040.	275.	978.		
Hydrocarbons	37,800	670.	177.	630.		
Nitrogen oxides	600	10.6	2.8	10.0		
Sulfur oxides ^C	51	0.90	0.24	0.85		
Solid particulate	1,670	29.7	7.85	27.9		
Aldehydes (RCHO)	552	9.8	2.6	9.2		

⁸Based on 60 hours of operation per year and 362 cm³ displacement.

bBased on 362 cm³ displacement and average fuel consumption of 0.94 gal/hr.

^cBased on sulfur content of 0.043 percent by weight.